

Chapter 4. Continue to Point Estimation-UMVUE

Sufficient Statistic:

A,B are two events. The conditional probability of A given B is

$$P(A|B) = \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)}, A \subset S.$$

$P(\cdot|B)$ is a probability set function with domain of subsets of sample space S.

Let X,Y be two r.v's with joint p.d.f $f(x, y)$ and marginal p.d.f's $f_X(x)$ and $f_Y(y)$. The conditional p.d.f of Y given $X = x$ is

$$f(y|x) = \frac{f(x, y)}{f_X(x)}, y \in R$$

Function $f(y|x)$ is a p.d.f satisfying $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y|x)dy = 1$

In estimation of parameter θ , we have a random sample X_1, \dots, X_n from p.d.f $f(x, \theta)$. The information we have about θ is contained in X_1, \dots, X_n .

Let $U = u(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ be a statistic having p.d.f $f_U(u, \theta)$

The conditional p.d.f X_1, \dots, X_n given $U = u$ is

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n|u) = \frac{f(x_1, \dots, x_n, \theta)}{f_U(u, \theta)}, \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) : u(x_1, \dots, x_n) = u\}$$

Function $f(x_1, \dots, x_n|u)$ is a joint p.d.f with $\int_{u(x_1, \dots, x_n)=u} \dots \int f(x_1, \dots, x_n|u)dx_1 \dots dx_n = 1$

Let X be r.v. and $U = u(X)$

$$f(x|U = u) = \frac{f(x, u)}{f_U(u)} = \begin{cases} \frac{f_X(x)}{f_U(u)} & \text{if } u(X) = u \\ \frac{0}{f_U(u)} = 0 & \text{if } u(X) \neq u \end{cases}$$

If, for any u, conditional p.d.f $f(x_1, \dots, x_n, \theta|u)$ is unrelated to parameter θ , then the random sample X_1, \dots, X_n contains no information about θ when $U = u$ is observed. This says that U contains exactly the same amount of information about θ as X_1, \dots, X_n .

Def. Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a random sample from a distribution with p.d.f $f(x, \theta)$, $\theta \in \Theta$. We call a statistic $U = u(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ a **sufficient statistic** if, for any value $U = u$, the conditional p.d.f $f(x_1, \dots, x_n|u)$ and its domain all not

depend on parameter θ .

Let $U = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$. Then

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n, \theta | u = (x_1^*, x_2^*, \dots, x_n^*)) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(x_1, \dots, x_n, \theta)}{f(x_1^*, x_2^*, \dots, x_n^*, \theta)} & \text{if } x_1 = x_1^*, x_2 = x_2^*, \dots, x_n = x_n^* \\ 0 & \text{if } x_i \neq x_i^* \text{ for some } i\text{'s.} \end{cases}$$

Then (X_1, \dots, X_n) itself is a sufficient statistic of θ .

Q: Why sufficiency?

A: We want a statistic with dimension as small as possible and contains information about θ the same amount as X_1, \dots, X_n does.

Def. If $U = u(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ is a sufficient statistic with smallest dimension, it is called the **minimal sufficient statistic**.

Example:

- (a) Let (X_1, \dots, X_n) be a random sample from a continuous distribution with p.d.f $f(x, \theta)$. Consider the order statistic $Y_1 = \min\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}, \dots, Y_n = \max\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$. If $Y_1 = y_1, \dots, Y_n = y_n$ are observed, sample X_1, \dots, X_n have equal chance to have values in

$$\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) : (x_1, \dots, x_n) \text{ is a permutation of } (y_1, \dots, y_n)\}.$$

Then the conditional joint p.d.f of X_1, \dots, X_n given $Y_1 = y_1, \dots, Y_n = y_n$ is

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n, \theta | y_1, \dots, y_n) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n!} & \text{if } x_1, \dots, x_n \text{ is a permutation of } y_1, \dots, y_n. \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then order statistic (Y_1, \dots, Y_n) is also a sufficient statistic of θ .

Order statistic is not a good sufficient statistic since it has dimension n .

- (b) Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a random sample from Bernoulli distribution.

The joint p.d.f of X_1, \dots, X_n is

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n, p) = \prod_{i=1}^n p^{x_i} (1-p)^{1-x_i} = p^{\sum x_i} (1-p)^{n-\sum x_i}, x_i = 0, 1, i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Consider the statistic $Y = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ which has binomial distribution $b(n, p)$ with p.d.f

$$f_Y(y, p) = \binom{n}{y} p^y (1-p)^{n-y}, y = 0, 1, \dots, n$$

If $Y = y$, the space of (X_1, \dots, X_n) is $\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) : \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = y\}$

The conditional p.d.f of X_1, \dots, X_n given $Y = y$ is

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n, p|y) = \begin{cases} \frac{p^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} (1-p)^{n-\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}}{\binom{n}{y} p^y (1-p)^{n-y}} = \frac{p^y (1-p)^{n-y}}{\binom{n}{y} p^y (1-p)^{n-y}} = \frac{1}{\binom{n}{y}} = \frac{1}{\binom{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}} & \text{if } \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = y \\ 0 & \text{if } \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \neq y \end{cases}$$

which is independent of p .

Hence, $Y = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is a sufficient statistic of p and is a minimal sufficient statistic.

(c) Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a random sample from uniform distribution $U(0, \theta)$.

Want to show that the largest order statistic $Y_n = \max\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ is a sufficient statistic.

The joint p.d.f of X_1, \dots, X_n is

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1, \dots, x_n, \theta) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\theta} I(0 < x_i < \theta) = \frac{1}{\theta^n} \prod_{i=1}^n I(0 < x_i < \theta) \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\theta^n} & \text{if } 0 < x_i < \theta, i = 1, \dots, n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

The p.d.f of Y_n is

$$f_{Y_n}(y, \theta) = n \left(\frac{y}{\theta}\right)^{n-1} \frac{1}{\theta} = n \frac{y^{n-1}}{\theta^n}, 0 < y < \theta$$

When $Y_n = y$ is given, X_1, \dots, X_n be values with $0 < x_i \leq y, i = 1, \dots, n$

The conditional p.d.f of X_1, \dots, X_n given $Y_n = y$ is

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n|y) = \frac{f(x_1, \dots, x_n, \theta)}{f_{Y_n}(y, \theta)} = \begin{cases} \frac{\frac{1}{\theta^n}}{n \frac{y^{n-1}}{\theta^n}} = \frac{1}{ny^{n-1}} & 0 < x_i \leq y, i = 1, \dots, n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

\Rightarrow independent of θ .

So, $Y_n = \max\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ is a sufficient statistic of θ .

Q:

(a) If U is a sufficient statistic, are $U+5$, U^2 , $\cos(U)$ all sufficient for θ ?

(b) Is there easier way in finding sufficient statistic ?

$T = t(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ is sufficient for θ if conditional p.d.f $f(x_1, \dots, x_n, \theta|t)$ is indep. of θ .

Independence:

1.function $f(x_1, \dots, x_n, \theta|t)$ not depend on θ .

2.domain of X_1, \dots, X_n not depend on θ .

Thm. Factorization Theorem.

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a random sample from a distribution with p.d.f $f(x, \theta)$. A statistic $U = u(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ is sufficient for θ iff there exists functions $K_1, K_2 \geq 0$ such that the joint p.d.f of X_1, \dots, X_n may be formulated as $f(x_1, \dots, x_n, \theta) = K_1(u(X_1, \dots, X_n), \theta)K_2(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ where K_2 is not a function of θ .

Proof. Consider only the continuous r.v's.

\Rightarrow) If U is sufficient for θ , then

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n, \theta|u) = \frac{f(x_1, \dots, x_n, \theta)}{f_U(u, \theta)}$$

is not a function of θ

$$\Rightarrow f(x_1, \dots, x_n, \theta) = f_U(u(X_1, \dots, X_n), \theta)f(x_1, \dots, x_n|u)$$

$$= K_1(u(X_1, \dots, X_n), \theta)K_2(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

\Leftarrow) Suppose that $f(x_1, \dots, x_n, \theta) = K_1(u(X_1, \dots, X_n), \theta)K_2(x_1, \dots, x_n)$

Let $Y_1 = u_1(X_1, \dots, X_n), Y_2 = u_2(X_1, \dots, X_n), \dots, Y_n = u_n(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ be a 1-1 function with inverse functions $x_1 = w_1(y_1, \dots, y_n), x_2 = w_2(y_1, \dots, y_n), \dots, x_n = w_n(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ and Jacobian

$$J = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial y_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial y_n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial x_n}{\partial y_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial x_n}{\partial y_n} \end{vmatrix} \quad (\text{not depend on } \theta.)$$

The joint p.d.f of Y_1, \dots, Y_n is

$$f_{Y_1, \dots, Y_n}(y_1, \dots, y_n, \theta) = f(w_1(y_1, \dots, y_n), \dots, w_n(y_1, \dots, y_n), \theta)|J|$$

$$= K_1(y_1, \theta)K_2(w_1(y_1, \dots, y_n), \dots, w_n(y_1, \dots, y_n), \theta)|J|$$

The marginal p.d.f of $U = Y_1$ is

$$f_U(y_1, \theta) = K_1(y_1, \theta) \underbrace{\int \cdots \int K_2(w_1(y_1, \dots, y_n), \dots, w_n(y_1, \dots, y_n)) |J| dy_2 \cdots dy_n}_{\text{not depend on } \theta}$$

Then the conditional p.d.f of X_1, \dots, X_n given $U = u$ is

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1, \dots, x_n, \theta | u) &= \frac{f(x_1, \dots, x_n, \theta)}{f_U(u, \theta)} \\ &= \frac{K_2(x_1, \dots, x_n)}{\int \cdots \int K_2(w_1(y_1, \dots, y_n), \dots, w_n(y_1, \dots, y_n), \theta) |J| dy_2 \cdots dy_n} \end{aligned}$$

which is independent of θ .

This indicates that U is sufficient for θ . □

Example :

(a) X_1, \dots, X_n is a random sample from $\text{Poisson}(\lambda)$. Want sufficient statistic for λ .

Joint p.d.f of X_1, \dots, X_n is

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1, \dots, x_n, \lambda) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\lambda^{x_i} e^{-\lambda}}{x_i!} = \frac{\lambda^{\sum x_i} e^{-n\lambda}}{\prod_{i=1}^n x_i!} = \lambda^{\sum x_i} e^{-n\lambda} \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^n x_i!} \\ &= K_1\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i, \lambda\right) K_2(x_1, \dots, x_n) \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is sufficient for λ .

We also have

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n, \lambda) = \lambda^{n\bar{x}} e^{-n\lambda} \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^n x_i!} = K_1(\bar{x}, \lambda) K_2(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

$\Rightarrow \bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is sufficient for λ .

We also have

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n, \lambda) = \lambda^{n(\bar{x}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{-n\lambda} \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^n x_i!} = K_1(\bar{x}^2, \lambda) K_2(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

$\Rightarrow \bar{X}^2$ is sufficient for λ .

(b) Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a random sample from $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$. Want sufficient statistic for (μ, σ^2) .

Joint p.d.f of X_1, \dots, X_n is

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n, \mu, \sigma^2) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{(x_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}} (\sigma^2)^{\frac{n}{2}}} e^{-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x} + \bar{x} - \mu)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2 + n(\bar{x} - \mu)^2 = (n-1)s^2 + n(\bar{x} - \mu)^2$$

$$(s^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2)$$

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n, \mu, \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}} (\sigma^2)^{\frac{n}{2}}} e^{-\frac{(n-1)s^2 + n(\bar{x} - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}} \cdot 1 = K_1(\bar{x}, s^2, \mu, \sigma^2) K_2(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

$\Rightarrow (\bar{X}, s^2)$ is sufficient for (μ, σ^2) .

What is useful with a sufficient statistic for point estimation ?

Review : X, Y r.v.'s with joint p.d.f $f(x, y)$.

Conditional p.d.f

$$f(y|x) = \frac{f(x, y)}{f_X(x)} \Rightarrow f(x, y) = f(y|x) f_X(x)$$

$$f(x|y) = \frac{f(x, y)}{f_Y(y)} \Rightarrow f(x, y) = f(x|y) f_Y(y)$$

Conditional expectation of Y given $X = x$ is

$$E(Y|x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y f(y|x) dy$$

The random conditional expectation $E(Y|X)$ is function $E(Y|x)$ with x replaced by X .

Conditional variance of Y given $X = x$ is

$$\text{Var}(Y|x) = E[(Y - E(Y|x))^2|x] = E(Y^2|x) - (E(Y|x))^2$$

The conditional variance $\text{Var}(Y|X)$ is $\text{Var}(Y|x)$ replacing x by X .

Thm. Let Y and X be two r.v.'s.

(a) $E[E(Y|x)] = E(Y)$

(b) $\text{Var}(Y) = E(\text{Var}(Y|x)) + \text{Var}(E(Y|x))$

Proof. (a)

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E}[\mathbf{E}(Y|x)] &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbf{E}(Y|x) f_X(x) dx \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y f(y|x) dy f_X(x) dx \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y f(x, y) dx dy \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x, y) dx \right) dy \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y f_Y(y) dy \\
&= \mathbf{E}(Y)
\end{aligned}$$

(b)

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Var}(Y|x) &= \mathbf{E}(Y^2|x) - (\mathbf{E}(Y|x))^2 \\
\Rightarrow \mathbf{E}(\text{Var}(Y|x)) &= \mathbf{E}[\mathbf{E}(Y^2|x)] - \mathbf{E}[(\mathbf{E}(Y|x))^2] = \mathbf{E}(Y^2) - \mathbf{E}[(\mathbf{E}(Y|x))^2] \\
\text{Also, } \text{Var}(\mathbf{E}(Y|x)) &= \mathbf{E}[(\mathbf{E}(Y|x))^2] - \mathbf{E}[(\mathbf{E}(Y|x))]^2 \\
&= \mathbf{E}[(\mathbf{E}(Y|x))^2] - (\mathbf{E}(Y))^2 \\
\Rightarrow \mathbf{E}(\text{Var}(Y|x)) + \text{Var}(\mathbf{E}(Y|x)) &= \mathbf{E}(Y^2) - (\mathbf{E}(Y))^2 = \text{Var}(Y)
\end{aligned}$$

□

Now, we come back to the estimation of parameter function $\tau(\theta)$. We have a random sample X_1, \dots, X_n from $f(x, \theta)$.

Lemma. Let $\hat{\tau}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ be an unbiased estimator of $\tau(\theta)$ and $U = u(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ is a statistic. Then

- (a) $\mathbf{E}_\theta[\hat{\tau}(X_1, \dots, X_n)|U]$ is unbiased for $\tau(\theta)$
- (b) $\text{Var}_\theta(\mathbf{E}[\hat{\tau}(X_1, \dots, X_n)|U]) \leq \text{Var}_\theta(\hat{\tau}(X_1, \dots, X_n))$

Proof. (a)

$$\mathbf{E}_\theta[\mathbf{E}(\hat{\tau}(X_1, \dots, X_n)|U)] = \mathbf{E}_\theta(\hat{\tau}(X_1, \dots, X_n)) = \tau(\theta), \forall \theta \in \Theta.$$

Then $\mathbf{E}_\theta[\hat{\tau}(X_1, \dots, X_n)|U]$ is unbiased for $\tau(\theta)$.

(b)

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Var}_\theta(\hat{\tau}(X_1, \dots, X_n)) &= \mathbf{E}_\theta[\text{Var}_\theta(\hat{\tau}(X_1, \dots, X_n)|U)] + \text{Var}_\theta[\mathbf{E}_\theta(\hat{\tau}(X_1, \dots, X_n)|U)] \\
&\geq \text{Var}_\theta[\mathbf{E}_\theta(\hat{\tau}(X_1, \dots, X_n)|U)], \forall \theta \in \Theta.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Conclusions:

- (a) For any estimator $\hat{\tau}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ which is unbiased for $\tau(\theta)$, and any statistic U , $E_\theta[\hat{\tau}(X_1, \dots, X_n)|U]$ is unbiased for $\tau(\theta)$ and with variance smaller than or equal to $\hat{\tau}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$.
- (b) However, $E_\theta[\hat{\tau}(X_1, \dots, X_n)|U]$ may not be a statistic. If it is not, it cannot be an estimator of $\tau(\theta)$.
- (c) If U is a sufficient statistic, $f(x_1, \dots, x_n, \theta|u)$ is independent of θ , then $E_\theta[\hat{\tau}(X_1, \dots, X_n)|u]$ is independent of θ . So, $E_\theta[\hat{\tau}(X_1, \dots, X_n)|U]$ is an unbiased estimator.

If U is not a sufficient statistic, $f(x_1, \dots, x_n, \theta|u)$ is not only a function of u but also a function of θ , then $E_\theta[\hat{\tau}(X_1, \dots, X_n)|u]$ is a function of u and θ . And $E_\theta[\hat{\tau}(X_1, \dots, X_n)|u]$ is not a statistic.

Thm. Rao-Blackwell

If $\hat{\tau}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ is unbiased for $\tau(\theta)$ and U is a sufficient statistic, then

- (a) $E_\theta[\hat{\tau}(X_1, \dots, X_n)|U]$ is a statistic.
- (b) $E_\theta[\hat{\tau}(X_1, \dots, X_n)|U]$ is unbiased for $\tau(\theta)$.
- (c) $Var_\theta(E[\hat{\tau}(X_1, \dots, X_n)|U]) \leq Var_\theta(\hat{\tau}(X_1, \dots, X_n)), \forall \theta \in \Theta$.

If $\hat{\tau}(\theta)$ is an unbiased estimator for $\tau(\theta)$ and U_1, U_2, \dots are sufficient statistics, then we can improve $\hat{\tau}(\theta)$ with the following fact:

$$\begin{aligned} Var_\theta(E[\hat{\tau}(\theta)|U_1]) &\leq Var_\theta \hat{\tau}(\theta) \\ Var_\theta E(E(\hat{\tau}(\theta)|U_1)|U_2) &\leq Var_\theta E(\hat{\tau}(\theta)|U_1) \\ Var_\theta E[E(E(\hat{\tau}(\theta)|U_1)|U_2)|U_3] &\leq Var_\theta E(E(\hat{\tau}(\theta)|U_1)|U_2) \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

Will this process ends with Cramer-Rao lower bound ?
This can be solved with “complete statistic”.

Note: Let U be a statistic and h is a function.

- (a) If $h(U) = 0$ then $E_\theta(h(U)) = E_\theta(0) = 0, \forall \theta \in \Theta$.

(b) If $P_\theta(h(U) = 0) = 1, \forall \theta \in \Theta$. $h(U)$ has a p.d.f

$$f_{h(U)}(h) = \begin{cases} 1 & , \text{if } h = 0 \\ 0 & , \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad \text{Then } E_\theta(h(U)) = \sum_{\text{all } h} h f_{h(U)}(h) = 0$$

Def. X_1, \dots, X_n is random sample from $f(x, \theta)$. A statistic $U = u(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ is a complete statistic if for any function $h(U)$ such that $E_\theta(h(U)) = 0, \forall \theta \in \Theta$, then $P_\theta(h(U) = 0) = 1$, for $\theta \in \Theta$.

Q : For any statistic U, how can we verify if it is complete or not complete ?

A :

(1) To prove completeness, you need to show that for any function $h(U)$ with $0 = E_\theta(h(U)), \forall \theta \in \Theta$. the following $1 = P_\theta(h(U) = 0), \forall \theta \in \Theta$ hold.

(2) To prove in-completeness, you need only to find one function $h(U)$ that satisfies $E_\theta(h(U)) = 0, \forall \theta \in \Theta$ and $P_\theta(h(U) = 0) < 1$, for some $\theta \in \Theta$.

Examples:

(a) $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Bernoulli}(p)$

Find a complete statistic and in-complete statistic ?

sol: (a.1) We show that $Y = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is a complete statistic. $Y \sim b(n, p)$.

Suppose that function $h(Y)$ satisfies $0 = E_p h(Y), \forall 0 < p < 1$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = E_p h(Y) &= \sum_{y=0}^n h(y) \binom{n}{y} p^y (1-p)^{n-y} \\ &= (1-p)^n \sum_{y=0}^n h(y) \binom{n}{y} \left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right)^y, \forall 0 < p < 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow 0 = \sum_{y=0}^n h(y) \binom{n}{y} \left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right)^y, \forall 0 < p < 1$$

$$\text{(Let } \theta = \frac{p}{1-p}, 0 < p < 1 \Leftrightarrow 0 < \theta < \infty)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow 0 = \sum_{y=0}^n h(y) \binom{n}{y} \theta^y, 0 < \theta < \infty$$

An order $n+1$ polynomial equation cannot have infinite solutions except that coefficients are zero's.

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow h(y) \binom{n}{y} &= 0, y = 0, \dots, n \text{ for } 0 < \theta < \infty \\ \Rightarrow h(y) &= 0, y = 0, \dots, n \text{ for } 0 < p < 1. \\ \Rightarrow 1 &\geq P_p(h(Y) = 0) \geq P_p(Y = 0, \dots, n) = 1 \\ \Rightarrow Y &= \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \text{ is complete} \end{aligned}$$

(a.2) We show that $Z = X_1 - X_2$ is not complete.

$$E_p Z = E_p(X_1 - X_2) = E_p X_1 - E_p X_2 = p - p = 0, \forall 0 < p < 1$$

$$\begin{aligned} P_p(Z = 0) &= P_p(X_1 - X_2 = 0) = P_p(X_1 = X_2 = 0 \text{ or } X_1 = X_2 = 1) \\ &= P_p(X_1 = X_2 = 0) + P_p(X_1 = X_2 = 1) \\ &= (1 - p)^2 + p^2 < 1 \text{ for } 0 < p < 1. \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow Z = X_1 - X_2$ is not complete.

(b) Let (X_1, \dots, X_n) be a random sample from $U(0, \theta)$.

We have to show that $Y_n = \max\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ is a sufficient statistic.

Here we use Factorization theorem to prove it again.

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1, \dots, x_n, \theta) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\theta} I(0 < x_i < \theta) = \frac{1}{\theta^n} \prod_{i=1}^n I(0 < x_i < \theta, i = 1, \dots, n) \\ &= \frac{1}{\theta^n} I(0 < y_n < \theta) \cdot 1 \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow Y_n$ is sufficient for θ

Now, we prove it complete.

The p.d.f of Y_n is

$$f_{Y_n}(y) = n \left(\frac{y}{\theta}\right)^{n-1} \frac{1}{\theta} = \frac{n}{\theta^n} y^{n-1}, 0 < y < \theta$$

Suppose that $h(Y_n)$ satisfies $0 = E_\theta h(Y_n), \forall 0 < \theta < \infty$

$$0 = E_\theta h(Y_n) = \int_0^\theta h(y) \frac{n}{\theta^n} y^{n-1} dy = \frac{n}{\theta^n} \int_0^\theta h(y) y^{n-1} dy$$

$$\Leftrightarrow 0 = \int_0^\theta h(y) y^{n-1} dy, \forall \theta > 0$$

Taking differentiation both sides with θ .

$$\Leftrightarrow 0 = h(\theta) \theta^{n-1}, \forall \theta > 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow 0 = h(y), 0 < y < \theta, \forall \theta > 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow P_\theta(h(Y_n) = 0) = P_\theta(0 < Y_n < \theta) = 1, \forall \theta > 0$$

$$\Rightarrow Y_n = \max\{X_1, \dots, X_n\} \text{ is complete.}$$

Def. If the p.d.f of r.v. X can be formulated as

$$f(x, \theta) = e^{a(x)b(\theta)+c(\theta)+d(x)}, l < x < q$$

where l and q do not depend on θ , then we say that f belongs to an exponential family.

Thm. Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a random sample from $f(x, \theta)$ which belongs to an exponential family as

$$f(x, \theta) = e^{a(x)b(\theta)+c(\theta)+d(x)}, l < x < q$$

Then $\sum_{i=1}^n a(X_i)$ is a complete and sufficient statistic.

Note: We say that $X = Y$ if $P(X = Y) = 1$.

Thm. Lehmann-Scheffe

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a random sample from $f(x, \theta)$. Suppose that $U = u(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ is a complete and sufficient statistic. If $\hat{\tau} = t(U)$ is unbiased for $\tau(\theta)$, then $\hat{\tau}$ is the unique function of U unbiased for $\tau(\theta)$ and is a UMVUE of $\tau(\theta)$. (Unbiased function of complete and sufficient statistic is UMVUE.)

Proof. If $\hat{\tau}^* = t^*(U)$ is also unbiased for $\tau(\theta)$, then

$$E_\theta(\hat{\tau} - \hat{\tau}^*) = E_\theta(\hat{\tau}) - E_\theta(\hat{\tau}^*) = \tau(\theta) - \tau(\theta) = 0, \forall \theta \in \Theta.$$

$$\Rightarrow 1 = P_\theta(\hat{\tau} - \hat{\tau}^* = 0) = P(\hat{\tau} = \hat{\tau}^*), \forall \theta \in \Theta.$$

$\Rightarrow \hat{\tau}^* = \hat{\tau}$, unbiased function of U is unique.

If T is any unbiased estimator of $\tau(\theta)$ then Rao-Blackwell theorem gives:

(a) $E(T|U)$ is unbiased estimator of $\tau(\theta)$.

By uniqueness, $E(T|U) = \hat{\tau}$ with probability 1.

(b) $\text{Var}_\theta(\hat{\tau}) = \text{Var}_\theta(E(T|U)) \leq \text{Var}_\theta(T), \forall \theta \in \Theta$.

This holds for every unbiased estimator T .

Then $\hat{\tau}$ is UMVUE of $\tau(\theta)$ □

Two ways in constructing UMVUE based on a complete and sufficient statistic U :

(a) If T is unbiased for $\tau(\theta)$, then $E(T|U)$ is the UMVUE of $\tau(\theta)$.

This is easy to define but difficult to transform it in a simple form.

(b) If there is a constant such that $E(U) = c \cdot \theta$, then $T = \frac{1}{c}U$ is the UMVUE of θ .

Example :

(a) Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a random sample from $U(0, \theta)$.

Want UMVUE of θ .

sol: $Y_n = \max\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ is a complete and sufficient statistic .

The p.d.f of Y_n is

$$f_{Y_n}(y, \theta) = n \left(\frac{y}{\theta}\right)^{n-1} \frac{1}{\theta} = n \frac{y^{n-1}}{\theta^n}, 0 < y < \theta$$

$$E(Y_n) = \int_0^\theta yn \frac{y^{n-1}}{\theta^n} dy = \frac{n}{n+1} \theta.$$

We then have $E\left(\frac{n+1}{n} Y_n\right) = \frac{n+1}{n} E(Y_n) = \theta$.

So, $\frac{n+1}{n} Y_n$ is the UMVUE of θ .

(b) Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a random sample from Bernoulli(p).

Want UMVUE of θ .

sol: The p.d.f is

$$f(x, p) = p^x (1-p)^{1-x} = (1-p) \left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right)^x = e^{x \ln\left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right) + \ln(1-p)}$$

$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is complete and sufficient.

$$E\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n E(X_i) = np$$

$\Rightarrow \hat{p} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i = \bar{X}$ is UMVUE of p .

(c) $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(\mu, 1)$.

Want UMVUE of μ .

sol: The p.d.f of X is

$$f(x, \mu) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(x^2 - 2\mu x + \mu^2)}{2}} = e^{\mu x - \frac{x^2}{2} - \frac{\mu^2}{2} - \ln \sqrt{2\pi}}$$

$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is complete and sufficient.

$$E\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n E(X_i) = n\mu$$

$\Rightarrow \hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i = \bar{X}$ is UMVUE of μ .

Since X_1 is unbiased, we see that $E(X_1 | \sum_{i=1}^n X_i) = \bar{X}$

(d) $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$.

Want UMVUE of $e^{-\lambda}$.

sol: The p.d.f of X is

$$f(x, \lambda) = \frac{1}{x!} \lambda^x e^{-\lambda} = e^{x \ln \lambda - \lambda - \ln x!}$$

$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is complete and sufficient.

$E(I(X_1 = 0)) = P(X_1 = 0) = f(0, \lambda) = e^{-\lambda}$ where $I(X_1 = 0)$ is an indicator function.

$\Rightarrow I(X_1 = 0)$ is unbiased for $e^{-\lambda}$

$\Rightarrow E(I(X_1 = 0) | \sum_{i=1}^n X_i)$ is UMVUE of $e^{-\lambda}$.