

Chapter 3. Statistical Inference – Point Estimation

Problem in statistics:

A random variables X with p.d.f. of the form $f(x, \theta)$ where function f is known but parameter θ is unknown. We want to gain knowledge about θ .

What we have for inference:

There is a random sample X_1, \dots, X_n from $f(x, \theta)$.

$$\text{Statistical inferences} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Estimation} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Point estimation: } \hat{\theta} = \hat{\theta}(X_1, \dots, X_n) \\ \text{Interval estimation:} \\ \text{Find statistics } T_1 = t_1(X_1, \dots, X_n), T_2 = t_2(X_1, \dots, X_n) \\ \text{such that } 1 - \alpha = P(T_1 \leq \theta \leq T_2) \end{array} \right. \\ \text{Hypothesis testing: } H_0 : \theta = \theta_0 \text{ or } H_0 : \theta \geq \theta_0. \\ \text{Want to find a rule to decide if we accept or reject } H_0. \end{array} \right.$$

Def. We call a statistic $\hat{\theta} = \hat{\theta}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ an estimator of parameter θ if it is used to estimate θ . If $X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n$ are observed, then $\hat{\theta} = \hat{\theta}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is called an **estimate** of θ .

Two problems are concerned in estimation of θ :

- (a) How can we evaluate an estimator $\hat{\theta}$ for its use in estimation of θ ?
Need criterion for this estimation.
- (b) Are there general rules in deriving estimators ? We will introduce two methods for deriving estimator of θ .

Def. We call an estimator θ **unbiased** for θ if it satisfies

$$E_{\theta}(\hat{\theta}(X_1, \dots, X_n)) = \theta, \forall \theta.$$

$$E_{\theta}(\hat{\theta}(X_1, \dots, X_n)) = \begin{cases} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\theta}(x_1, \dots, x_n) f(x_1, \dots, x_n, \theta) dx_1 \dots dx_n \\ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \theta^* f_{\hat{\theta}}(\theta^*) d\theta^* \text{ where } \hat{\theta} = \hat{\theta}(X_1, \dots, X_n) \text{ is a r.v. with pdf } f_{\hat{\theta}}(\theta^*) \end{cases}$$

Def. If $E_{\theta}(\hat{\theta}(X_1, \dots, X_n)) \neq \theta$ for some θ , we said that $\hat{\theta}$ is a **biased** estimator.

Example : $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(\mu, \sigma^2)$, Suppose that our interest is $\mu, X_1,$

$E_\mu(X_1) = \mu$, is unbiased for μ ,

$\frac{1}{2}(X_1 + X_2), E(\frac{X_1+X_2}{2}) = \mu$, is unbiased for μ ,

$\bar{X}, E_\mu(\bar{X}) = \mu$, is unbiased for μ ,

► $a_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} a$, if , for $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $N > 0$ such that $|a_n - a| < \epsilon$ if $n \geq N$.

$\{X_n\}$ is a sequence of r.v.'s. How can we define $X_n \rightarrow X$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$?

Def. We say that X_n **converges** to X , a r.v. or a constant, in probability if for $\epsilon > 0$,

$$P(|X_n - X| > \epsilon) \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

In this case, we denote $X_n \xrightarrow{P} X$.

Thm.

If $E(X_n) = a$ or $E(X_n) \rightarrow a$ and $\text{Var}(X_n) \rightarrow 0$, then $X_n \xrightarrow{P} a$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} E[(X_n - a)^2] &= E[(X_n - E(X_n) + E(X_n) - a)^2] \\ &= E[(X_n - E(X_n))^2] + E[(E(X_n) - a)^2] + 2E[(X_n - E(X_n))(E(X_n) - a)] \\ &= \text{Var}(X_n) + E((X_n) - a)^2 \end{aligned}$$

Chebyshev's Inequality :

$$P(|X_n - X| \geq \epsilon) \leq \frac{E(X_n - X)^2}{\epsilon^2} \text{ or } P(|X_n - \mu| \geq k\sigma) \leq \frac{1}{k^2}$$

For $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq P(|X_n - a| > \epsilon) &= P((X_n - a)^2 > \epsilon^2) \\ &\leq \frac{E(X_n - a)^2}{\epsilon^2} = \frac{\text{Var}(X_n) + (E(X_n) - a)^2}{\epsilon^2} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow P(|X_n - a| > \epsilon) \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \Rightarrow X_n \xrightarrow{P} a.$$

□

Thm. Weak Law of Large Numbers(WLLN)

If X_1, \dots, X_n is a random sample with mean μ and finite variance σ^2 , then $\bar{X} \xrightarrow{P} \mu$.

Proof.

$$E(\bar{X}) = \mu, \text{Var}(\bar{X}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \Rightarrow \bar{X} \xrightarrow{P} \mu.$$

□

Def. We say that $\hat{\theta}$ is a **consistent** estimator of θ if $\hat{\theta} \xrightarrow{P} \theta$.

Example : X_1, \dots, X_n is a random sample with mean μ and finite variance σ^2 . Is X_1 a consistent estimator of μ ?

$E(X_1) = \mu$, X_1 is unbiased for μ .

Let $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} P(|X_1 - \mu| > \epsilon) &= 1 - P(|X_1 - \mu| \leq \epsilon) = 1 - P(\mu - \epsilon \leq X_1 \leq \mu + \epsilon) \\ &= 1 - \int_{\mu - \epsilon}^{\mu + \epsilon} f_X(x) dx > 0, \not\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow X$ is not a consistent estimator of μ

$$\begin{aligned} E(\bar{X}) &= \mu, \text{Var}(\bar{X}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \\ \Rightarrow \bar{X} &\xrightarrow{P} \mu. \\ \Rightarrow \bar{X} &\text{ is a consistent estimator of } \mu. \end{aligned}$$

► Unbiasedness and consistency are two basic conditions for good estimator.

Moments :

Let X be a random variable having a p.d.f. $f(x, \theta)$, the population k_{th} moment is defined by

$$E_{\theta}(X^k) = \begin{cases} \sum_{\text{all } x} x^k f(x, \theta) & , \text{ discrete} \\ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^k f(x, \theta) dx & , \text{ continuous} \end{cases}$$

The sample k_{th} moment is defined by $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^k$.

Note :

$$E\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^k\right) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E(X_i^k) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E_{\theta}(X^k) = E_{\theta}(X^k)$$

\Rightarrow Sample k_{th} moment is unbiased for population k_{th} moment.

$$\text{Var}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^k\right) = \frac{1}{n^2} \text{Var}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^k\right) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var}(X_i^k) = \frac{1}{n} \text{Var}(X^k) \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \longrightarrow \infty.$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^k \xrightarrow{P} E_\theta(X^k).$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^k \text{ is a consistent estimator of } E_\theta(X^k).$$

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a random sample with mean μ and variance σ^2 . The sample variance is defined by $S^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2$. Want to show that S^2 is unbiased for σ^2 .

$$\text{Var}(X) = E[(X - \mu)^2] = E[X^2 - 2\mu X + \mu^2] = E(X^2) - \mu^2$$

$$\Rightarrow E(X^2) = \text{Var}(X) + \mu^2 = \text{Var}(X) + (E(X))^2$$

$$E(\bar{X}) = \mu, \text{Var}(\bar{X}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E(S^2) &= E\left(\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2\right) = \frac{1}{n-1} E\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 - 2\bar{X} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i + n\bar{X}^2\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n-1} E\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 - n\bar{X}^2\right) = \frac{1}{n-1} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n E(X_i^2) - nE(\bar{X}^2)\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{n-1} [n\sigma^2 + n\mu^2 - n\left(\frac{\sigma^2}{n} + \mu^2\right)] = \frac{1}{n-1} (n-1)\sigma^2 = \sigma^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow S^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2 \text{ is unbiased for } \sigma^2.$$

$$S^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 - n\bar{X}^2\right] = \frac{n}{n-1} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 - \bar{X}^2\right] \xrightarrow{P} E(X^2) - \mu^2 = \sigma^2 + \mu^2 - \mu^2 = \sigma^2$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} X_1, \dots, X_n \text{ are iid with mean } \mu \text{ and variance } \sigma^2 \\ X_1^2, \dots, X_n^2 \text{ are iid r.v.'s with mean } E(X^2) = \mu^2 + \sigma^2 \\ \text{By WLLN, } \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 \xrightarrow{P} E(X^2) = \mu^2 + \sigma^2 \end{array} \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow s^2 \xrightarrow{P} \sigma^2$$

Def. Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a random sample from a distribution with p.d.f. $f(x, \theta)$

(a) If θ is univariate, the method of moment estimator $\hat{\theta}$ solve θ for $\bar{X} = E_{\theta}(X)$

(b) If $\theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2)$ is bivariate, the method of moment estimator $(\hat{\theta}_1, \hat{\theta}_2)$ solves (θ_1, θ_2) for

$$\bar{X} = E_{\theta_1, \theta_2}(X), \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 = E_{\theta_1, \theta_2}(X^2)$$

(c) If $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k)$ is k -variate, the method of moment estimator $(\hat{\theta}_1, \dots, \hat{\theta}_k)$ solves $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k$ for

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^j = E_{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k}(X^j), j = 1, \dots, k$$

Example :

(a) $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Bernoulli}(p)$

Let $\bar{X} = E_p(X) = p$

\Rightarrow The method of moment estimator of p is $\hat{p} = \bar{X}$

By WLLN, $\hat{p} = \bar{X} \xrightarrow{P} E_p(X) = p \Rightarrow \hat{p}$ is consistent for p .

$E(\hat{p}) = E(\bar{X}) = E(X) = p \Rightarrow \hat{p}$ is unbiased for p .

(b) Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a random sample from $\text{Poisson}(\lambda)$

Let $\bar{X} = E_{\lambda}(X) = \lambda$

\Rightarrow The method of moment estimator of λ is $\hat{\lambda} = \bar{X}$

$E(\hat{\lambda}) = E(\bar{X}) = \lambda \Rightarrow \hat{\lambda}$ is unbiased for λ .

$\hat{\lambda} = \bar{X} \xrightarrow{P} E(X) = \lambda \Rightarrow \hat{\lambda}$ is consistent for λ .

(c) Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a random sample with mean μ and variance σ^2 .

$\theta = (\mu, \sigma^2)$

Let $\bar{X} = E_{\mu, \sigma^2}(X) = \mu$

$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 = E_{\mu, \sigma^2}(X^2) = \sigma^2 + \mu^2$

\Rightarrow Method of moment estimator are $\hat{\mu} = \bar{X}$,

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 - \bar{X}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2 .$$

\bar{X} is unbiased and consistent estimator for μ .

$$E(\hat{\sigma}^2) = E\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2\right) = \frac{n-1}{n} E\left(\frac{1}{n-1} \sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2\right) = \frac{n-1}{n} \sigma^2 \neq \sigma^2$$

$\Rightarrow \hat{\sigma}^2$ is not unbiased for σ^2

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 - \bar{X}^2 \xrightarrow{p} E(X^2) - \mu^2 = \sigma^2$$

$\Rightarrow \hat{\sigma}^2$ is consistent for σ^2 .

Maximum Likelihood Estimator :

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a random sample with p.d.f. $f(x, \theta)$.

The joint p.d.f. of X_1, \dots, X_n is

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n, \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i, \theta), x_i \in R, i = 1, \dots, n$$

Let Θ be the space of possible values of θ . We call Θ the **parameter space**.

Def. The likelihood function of a random sample is defined as its joint p.d.f. as

$$L(\theta) = L(\theta, x_1, \dots, x_n) = f(x_1, \dots, x_n, \theta), \theta \in \Theta.$$

which is considered as a function of θ .

For (x_1, \dots, x_n) fixed, the value $L(\theta, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is called the likelihood at θ .

Given observation x_1, \dots, x_n , the likelihood $L(\theta, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is considered as the probability that $X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n$ occurs when θ is true.

Def. Let $\hat{\theta} = \hat{\theta}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be any value of θ that maximizes $L(\theta, x_1, \dots, x_n)$. Then we call $\hat{\theta} = \hat{\theta}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ the **maximum likelihood estimator (m.l.e)** of θ . When $X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n$ is observed, we call $\hat{\theta} = \hat{\theta}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ the **maximum likelihood estimate** of θ .

Note :

(a) Why m.l.e ?

When $L(\theta_1, x_1, \dots, x_n) \geq L(\theta_2, x_1, \dots, x_n)$,

we are more confident to believe $\theta = \theta_1$ than to believe $\theta = \theta_2$

(b) How to derive m.l.e ?

$$\frac{\partial \ln x}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{x} > 0 \Rightarrow \ln x \text{ is } \nearrow \text{ in } x$$

\Rightarrow If $L(\theta_1) \geq L(\theta_2)$, then $\ln L(\theta_1) \geq \ln L(\theta_2)$

If $\hat{\theta}$ is the m.l.e., then $L(\hat{\theta}, x_1, \dots, x_n) = \max_{\theta \in \Theta} L(\theta, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and

$$\ln L(\hat{\theta}, x_1, \dots, x_n) = \max_{\theta \in \Theta} \ln L(\theta, x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

Two cases to solve m.l.e. :

(b.1) $\frac{\partial \ln L(\theta)}{\partial \theta} = 0$

(b.2) $L(\theta)$ is monotone. Solve $\max_{\theta \in \Theta} L(\theta, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ from monotone property.

Order statistics:

Let (X_1, \dots, X_n) be a random sample with d.f. F and p.d.f. f .

Let (Y_1, \dots, Y_n) be a permutation (X_1, \dots, X_n) such that $Y_1 \leq Y_2 \leq \dots \leq Y_n$.

Then we call (Y_1, \dots, Y_n) the **order statistic** of (X_1, \dots, X_n) where Y_1 is the first (smallest) order statistic, Y_2 is the second order statistic, ..., Y_n is the largest order statistic.

If (X_1, \dots, X_n) are independent, then

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_1 \in A_1, X_2 \in A_2, \dots, X_n \in A_n) &= \int_{A_n} \dots \int_{A_1} f(x_1, \dots, x_n) dx_1 \dots dx_n \\ &= \int_{A_n} f_n(x_n) dx_n \dots \int_{A_1} f_1(x_1) dx_1 \\ &= P(X_n \in A_n) \dots P(X_1 \in A_1) \end{aligned}$$

Thm. Let (X_1, \dots, X_n) be a random sample from a “continuous distribution” with p.d.f. $f(x)$ and d.f. $F(x)$. Then the p.d.f. of $Y_n = \max\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ is

$$g_n(y) = n(F(y))^{n-1}f(y)$$

and the p.d.f. of $Y_1 = \min\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ is

$$g_1(y) = n(1 - F(y))^{n-1}f(y)$$

Proof. This is a $R^n \rightarrow R$ transformation. Distribution function of Y_n is

$$\begin{aligned} G_n(y) &= P(Y_n \leq y) = P(\max\{X_1, \dots, X_n\} \leq y) = P(X_1 \leq y, \dots, X_n \leq y) \\ &= P(X_1 \leq y)P(X_2 \leq y) \dots P(X_n \leq y) = (F(y))^n \end{aligned}$$

\Rightarrow p.d.f. of Y_n is $g_n(y) = D_y(F(y))^n = n(F(y))^{n-1}f(y)$

Distribution function of Y_1 is

$$\begin{aligned} G_1(y) &= P(Y_1 \leq y) = P(\min\{X_1, \dots, X_n\} \leq y) = 1 - P(\min\{X_1, \dots, X_n\} > y) \\ &= 1 - P(X_1 > y, X_2 > y, \dots, X_n > y) = 1 - P(X_1 > y)P(X_2 > y) \cdots P(X_n > y) \\ &= 1 - (1 - F(y))^n \end{aligned}$$

\Rightarrow p.d.f. of Y_1 is $g_1(y) = D_y(1 - (1 - F(y))^n) = n(1 - F(y))^{n-1}f(y)$

□

Example : Let (X_1, \dots, X_n) be a random sample from $U(0, \theta)$.

Find m.l.e. of θ . Is it unbiased and consistent ?

sol: The p.d.f. of X is

$$f(x, \theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\theta} & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq \theta \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

Consider the indicator function

$$I_{(a,b)}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a \leq x \leq b \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

Then $f(x, \theta) = \frac{1}{\theta} I_{[0,\theta]}(x)$.

The likelihood function is

$$L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i, \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\theta} I_{[0,\theta]}(x_i) = \frac{1}{\theta^n} \prod_{i=1}^n I_{[0,\theta]}(x_i)$$

Let $Y_n = \max\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$

Then $\prod_{i=1}^n I_{[0,\theta]}(x_i) = 1 \Leftrightarrow 0 \leq x_i \leq \theta$, for all $i = 1, \dots, n \Leftrightarrow 0 \leq y_n \leq \theta$

We then have

$$L(\theta) = \frac{1}{\theta^n} I_{[0,\theta]}(y_n) = \frac{1}{\theta^n} I_{[y_n, \infty)}(\theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\theta^n} & \text{if } \theta \geq y_n \\ 0 & \text{if } \theta < y_n \end{cases}$$

$L(\theta)$ is maximized when $\theta = y_n$. Then m.l.e. of θ is $\hat{\theta} = Y_n$

The d.f. of x is

$$F(x) = P(X \leq x) = \int_0^x \frac{1}{\theta} dt = \frac{x}{\theta}, 0 \leq x \leq \theta$$

The p.d.f. of Y is

$$g_n(y) = n\left(\frac{y}{\theta}\right)^{n-1} \frac{1}{\theta} = n \frac{y^{n-1}}{\theta^n}, 0 \leq y \leq \theta$$

$$E(Y_n) = \int_0^\theta yn \frac{y^{n-1}}{\theta^n} dy = \frac{n}{n+1} \theta \neq \theta \Rightarrow \text{m.l.e. } \hat{\theta} = Y_n \text{ is not unbiased.}$$

However, $E(Y_n) = \frac{n}{n+1} \theta \rightarrow \theta$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, m.l.e. $\hat{\theta}$ is asymptotically unbiased.

$$E(Y_n^2) = \int_0^\theta y^2 n \frac{y^{n-1}}{\theta^n} dy = \frac{n}{n+2} \theta^2$$

$$\text{Var}(Y_n) = E(Y_n^2) - (EY_n)^2 = \frac{n}{n+2} \theta^2 - \left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)^2 \theta^2 \rightarrow \theta^2 - \theta^2 = 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

$\Rightarrow Y_n \xrightarrow{P} \theta \Rightarrow \text{m.l.e. } \hat{\theta} = Y_n$ is consistent for θ .

Is there unbiased estimator for θ ?

$$E\left(\frac{n+1}{n} Y_n\right) = \frac{n+1}{n} E(Y_n) = \frac{n+1}{n} \frac{n}{n+1} \theta = \theta$$

$\Rightarrow \frac{n+1}{n} Y_n$ is unbiased for θ .

Example :

(a) $Y \sim b(n, p)$

The likelihood function is

$$L(p) = f_Y(y, p) = \binom{n}{y} p^y (1-p)^{n-y}$$

$$\ln L(p) = \ln \binom{n}{y} + y \ln p + (n-y) \ln(1-p)$$

$$\frac{\partial \ln L(p)}{\partial p} = \frac{y}{p} - \frac{n-y}{1-p} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \frac{y}{p} = \frac{n-y}{1-p} \Leftrightarrow y(1-p) = p(n-y) \Leftrightarrow y = np$$

$\Rightarrow \text{m.l.e. } \hat{p} = \frac{Y}{n}$

$E(\hat{p}) = \frac{1}{n} E(Y) = p \Rightarrow \text{m.l.e. } \hat{p} = \frac{Y}{n}$ is unbiased.

$\text{Var}(\hat{p}) = \frac{1}{n^2} \text{Var}(Y) = \frac{1}{n} p(1-p) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$\Rightarrow \text{m.l.e. } \hat{p} = \frac{Y}{n}$ is consistent for p .

(b) X_1, \dots, X_n are a random sample from $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$. Want m.l.e.'s of μ and σ^2

The likelihood function is

$$L(\mu, \sigma^2) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(\sigma^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}} e^{-\frac{(x_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}} = (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} (\sigma^2)^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

$$\ln L(\mu, \sigma^2) = \left(-\frac{n}{2}\right) \ln(2\pi) - \frac{n}{2} \ln \sigma^2 - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2$$

$$\frac{\partial \ln L(\mu, \sigma^2)}{\partial \mu} = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu) = 0 \Rightarrow \hat{\mu} = \bar{X}$$

$$\frac{\partial \ln L(\hat{\mu}, \sigma^2)}{\partial \sigma^2} = -\frac{n}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{2\sigma^4} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2 = 0 \Rightarrow \hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2$$

$E(\hat{\mu}) = E(\bar{X}) = \mu$ (unbiased), $\text{Var}(\hat{\mu}) = \text{Var}(\bar{X}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$
 \Rightarrow m.l.e. $\hat{\mu}$ is consistent for μ .

$E(\hat{\sigma}^2) = E\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2\right) = \frac{n-1}{n} \sigma^2 \neq \sigma^2$ (biased).

$E(\hat{\sigma}^2) = \frac{n-1}{n} \sigma^2 \rightarrow \sigma^2$ as $n \rightarrow \infty \Rightarrow \hat{\sigma}^2$ is asymptotically unbiased.

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\sigma}^2) = \text{Var}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2\right) = \frac{1}{n^2} \text{Var}\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2}{\sigma^2}\right)$$

$$= \frac{\sigma^4}{n^2} \text{Var}\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2}{\sigma^2}\right) = \frac{2(n-1)}{n^2} \sigma^4 \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

\Rightarrow m.l.e. $\hat{\sigma}^2$ is consistent for σ^2 .

Suppose that we have m.l.e. $\hat{\theta} = \hat{\theta}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ for parameter θ and our interest is a new parameter $\tau(\theta)$, a function of θ .

What is the m.l.e. of $\tau(\theta)$?

The space of $\tau(\theta)$ is $T = \{\tau : \exists \theta \in \Theta \text{ s.t } \tau = \tau(\theta)\}$

Thm. If $\hat{\theta} = \hat{\theta}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is the m.l.e. of θ and $\tau(\theta)$ is a 1-1 function of θ , then m.l.e. of $\tau(\theta)$ is $\tau(\hat{\theta})$

Proof. The likelihood function for θ is $L(\theta, x_1, \dots, x_n)$. Then the likelihood function for $\tau(\theta)$ can be derived as follows :

$$\begin{aligned} L(\theta, x_1, \dots, x_n) &= L(\tau^{-1}(\tau(\theta)), x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ &= M(\tau(\theta), x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ &= M(\tau, x_1, \dots, x_n), \tau \in T \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
M(\tau(\hat{\theta}), x_1, \dots, x_n) &= L(\tau^{-1}(\tau(\hat{\theta})), x_1, \dots, x_n) \\
&= L(\hat{\theta}, x_1, \dots, x_n) \\
&\geq L(\theta, x_1, \dots, x_n), \forall \theta \in \Theta \\
&= L(\tau^{-1}(\tau(\theta)), x_1, \dots, x_n) \\
&= M(\tau(\theta), x_1, \dots, x_n), \forall \theta \in \Theta \\
&= M(\tau, x_1, \dots, x_n), \tau \in T
\end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow \tau(\hat{\theta})$ is m.l.e. of $\tau(\theta)$.

This is the invariance property of m.l.e. □

Example :

(1) If $Y \sim b(n, p)$, m.l.e of p is $\hat{p} = \frac{Y}{n}$

$\tau(p)$	m.l.e of $\tau(p)$
p^2	$\hat{p}^2 = \left(\frac{Y}{n}\right)^2$
\sqrt{p}	$\sqrt{\hat{p}} = \sqrt{\frac{Y}{n}}$ $p(1-p)$ is not a 1-1 function of p .
e^p	$\hat{e}^p = e^{\frac{Y}{n}}$
e^{-p}	$\hat{e}^{-p} = e^{-\frac{Y}{n}}$

(2) $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(\mu, \sigma^2)$, m.l.e.'s of (μ, σ^2) is $(\bar{X}, \frac{1}{n} \sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2)$.

m.l.e.'s of (μ, σ) is $(\bar{X}, \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2})$ ($\because \sigma \in (0, \infty) \therefore \sigma^2 \rightarrow \sigma$ is 1-1)

You can also solve

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \ln L(\mu, \sigma^2, x_1, \dots, x_n)}{\partial \mu} &= 0 \\
\frac{\partial \ln L(\mu, \sigma^2, x_1, \dots, x_n)}{\partial \sigma} &= 0 \text{ for } \mu, \sigma
\end{aligned}$$

(μ^2, σ) is not a 1-1 function of (μ, σ^2) .

($\because \mu \in (-\infty, \infty) \therefore \mu \rightarrow \mu^2$ isn't 1-1)

Best estimator :

Def. An unbiased estimator $\hat{\theta} = \hat{\theta}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ is called a uniformly minimum variance unbiased estimator (UMVUE) or best estimator if for any unbiased estimator $\hat{\theta}^*$, we have

$$\text{Var}_{\theta} \hat{\theta} \leq \text{Var}_{\theta} \hat{\theta}^*, \text{ for } \theta \in \Theta$$

($\hat{\theta}$ is uniformly better than $\hat{\theta}^*$ in variance.)

There are several ways in deriving UMVUE of θ .

Cramer-Rao lower bound for variance of unbiased estimator :

Regularity conditions :

(a) Parameter space Θ is an open interval. $(a, \infty), (a, b), (b, \infty)$, a,b are constants not depending on θ .

(b) Set $\{x : f(x, \theta) = 0\}$ is independent of θ .

(c) $\int \frac{\partial f(x, \theta)}{\partial \theta} dx = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \int f(x, \theta) dx = 0$

(d) If $T = t(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is an unbiased estimator, then

$$\int t \frac{\partial f(x, \theta)}{\partial \theta} dx = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \int t f(x, \theta) dx$$

Thm. Cramer-Rao (C-R)

Suppose that the regularity conditions hold.

If $\hat{\tau}(\theta) = t(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ is unbiased for $\tau(\theta)$, then

$$\text{Var}_\theta \hat{\tau}(\theta) \geq \frac{(\tau'(\theta))^2}{n E_\theta \left[\left(\frac{\partial \ln f(x, \theta)}{\partial \theta} \right)^2 \right]} = \frac{(\tau'(\theta))^2}{-n E_\theta \left[\left(\frac{\partial^2 \ln f(x, \theta)}{\partial \theta^2} \right) \right]} \text{ for } \theta \in \Theta$$

Proof. Consider only the continuous distribution.

$$\begin{aligned} E \left[\frac{\partial \ln f(x, \theta)}{\partial \theta} \right] &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\partial \ln f(x, \theta)}{\partial \theta} f(x, \theta) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\partial f(x, \theta)}{\partial \theta} dx \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x, \theta) dx = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\tau(\theta) = E_\theta \hat{\tau}(\theta) = E_\theta(t(x_1, \dots, x_n)) = \int \cdots \int t(x_1, \dots, x_n) \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i, \theta) \prod_{i=1}^n dx_i$$

Taking derivatives both sides.

$$\begin{aligned} \tau'(\theta) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \int \cdots \int t(x_1, \dots, x_n) \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i, \theta) \prod_{i=1}^n dx_i - \tau(\theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \int \cdots \int \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i, \theta) \prod_{i=1}^n dx_i \\ &= \int \cdots \int t(x_1, \dots, x_n) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i, \theta) \prod_{i=1}^n dx_i - \int \cdots \int \tau(\theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i, \theta) \prod_{i=1}^n dx_i \\ &= \int \cdots \int (t(x_1, \dots, x_n) - \tau(\theta)) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i, \theta) \right) \prod_{i=1}^n dx_i \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i, \theta) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} [f(x_1, \theta) f(x_2, \theta) \cdots f(x_n, \theta)] \\
&= \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f(x_1, \theta) \right) \prod_{i \neq 1} f(x_i, \theta) + \cdots + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f(x_n, \theta) \right) \prod_{i \neq n} f(x_i, \theta) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f(x_j, \theta) \prod_{i \neq j} f(x_i, \theta) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial \ln f(x_j, \theta)}{\partial \theta} f(x_j, \theta) \prod_{i \neq j} f(x_i, \theta) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial \ln f(x_j, \theta)}{\partial \theta} \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i, \theta)
\end{aligned}$$

Cauchy-Swartz Inequality

$$[\mathbb{E}(XY)]^2 \leq \mathbb{E}(X^2)\mathbb{E}(Y^2)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau'(\theta) &= \int \cdots \int (t(x_1, \dots, x_n) - \tau(\theta)) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial \ln f(x_j, \theta)}{\partial \theta} \right) \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i, \theta) \prod_{i=1}^n dx_i \\
&= \mathbb{E}[(t(x_1, \dots, x_n) - \tau(\theta)) \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial \ln f(x_j, \theta)}{\partial \theta}]
\end{aligned}$$

$$(\tau'(\theta))^2 \leq \mathbb{E}[(t(x_1, \dots, x_n) - \tau(\theta))^2] \mathbb{E}[\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial \ln f(x_j, \theta)}{\partial \theta} \right)^2]$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Var}(\hat{\tau}(\theta)) \geq \frac{(\tau'(\theta))^2}{\mathbb{E}[\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial \ln f(x_j, \theta)}{\partial \theta} \right)^2]}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial \ln f(x_j, \theta)}{\partial \theta} \right)^2] &= \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{\partial \ln f(x_j, \theta)}{\partial \theta} \right)^2 + \sum_{i \neq j} \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{\partial \ln f(x_j, \theta)}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \ln f(x_i, \theta)}{\partial \theta} \right) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{\partial \ln f(x_j, \theta)}{\partial \theta} \right)^2 \\
&= n \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{\partial \ln f(x_j, \theta)}{\partial \theta} \right)^2
\end{aligned}$$

Then, we have

$$\text{Var}_\theta \hat{\tau}(\theta) \geq \frac{(\tau'(\theta))^2}{n\mathbb{E}_\theta \left[\left(\frac{\partial \ln f(x, \theta)}{\partial \theta} \right)^2 \right]}$$

You may further check that

$$\mathbb{E}_\theta \left(\frac{\partial^2 \ln f(x, \theta)}{\partial \theta^2} \right) = -\mathbb{E}_\theta \left(\frac{\partial \ln f(x, \theta)}{\partial \theta} \right)^2$$

□

Thm. *If there is an unbiased estimator $\hat{\tau}(\theta)$ with variance achieving the Cramer-Rao lower bound $\frac{(\tau'(\theta))^2}{-n\mathbb{E}_\theta \left[\left(\frac{\partial^2 \ln f(x, \theta)}{\partial \theta^2} \right) \right]}$, then $\hat{\tau}(\theta)$ is a UMVUE of $\tau(\theta)$.*

Note:

If $\tau(\theta) = \theta$, then any unbiased estimator $\hat{\theta}$ satisfies

$$\text{Var}_\theta(\hat{\theta}) \geq \frac{(\tau'(\theta))^2}{-n\mathbb{E}_\theta \left(\frac{\partial^2 \ln f(x, \theta)}{\partial \theta^2} \right)}$$

Example:

(a) $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$, $\mathbb{E}(X) = \lambda$, $\text{Var}(X) = \lambda$.

MLE $\hat{\lambda} = \bar{X}$, $\mathbb{E}(\hat{\lambda}) = \lambda$, $\text{Var}(\hat{\lambda}) = \frac{\lambda}{n}$.

p.d.f. $f(x, \lambda) = \frac{\lambda^x e^{-\lambda}}{x!}$, $x = 0, 1, \dots$

$$\Rightarrow \ln f(x, \lambda) = x \ln \lambda - \lambda - \ln x!$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \ln f(x, \lambda) = \frac{x}{\lambda} - 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \lambda^2} \ln f(x, \lambda) = -\frac{x}{\lambda^2}$$

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \lambda^2} \ln f(x, \lambda) \right) = \mathbb{E} \left(-\frac{x}{\lambda^2} \right) = -\frac{\mathbb{E}(X)}{\lambda^2} = -\frac{1}{\lambda}$$

Cramer-Rao lower bound is

$$\frac{1}{-n \left(-\frac{1}{\lambda} \right)} = \frac{\lambda}{n} = \text{Var}(\hat{\lambda})$$

\Rightarrow MLE $\hat{\lambda} = \bar{X}$ is the UMVUE of λ .

(b) $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Bernoulli}(p)$, $E(X) = p$, $\text{Var}(X) = p(1-p)$.

Want UMVUE of p .

$$\text{p.d.f } f(x, p) = p^x(1-p)^{1-x}$$

$$\Rightarrow \ln f(x, p) = x \ln p + (1-x) \ln(1-p)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial p} \ln f(x, p) = \frac{x}{p} - \frac{1-x}{1-p}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial p^2} \ln f(x, p) = -\frac{x}{p^2} + \frac{1-x}{(1-p)^2}$$

$$E\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial p^2} \ln f(X, p)\right) = E\left(-\frac{X}{p^2} + \frac{1-X}{(1-p)^2}\right) = -\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{1-p} = -\frac{1}{p(1-p)}$$

C-R lower bound for p is

$$\frac{1}{-n\left(-\frac{1}{p(1-p)}\right)} = \frac{p(1-p)}{n}$$

m.l.e. of p is $\hat{p} = \bar{X}$

$$E(\hat{p}) = E(\bar{X}) = p, \text{Var}(\hat{p}) = \text{Var}(\bar{X}) = \frac{p(1-p)}{n} = \text{C-R lower bound.}$$

\Rightarrow MLE \hat{p} is the UMVUE of p .