Part 1 Random Processes for Communications

System Models

- □ A good mathematical model for a system is the basis of its analysis.
- □ Two models are often considered:
 - Deterministic model
 - □ No uncertainty about its time-dependent behavior at any instance of time
 - Random or stochastic model
 - Uncertain about its time-dependent behavior at any instance of time
 - but certain on the *statistical* behavior at any instance of time

Examples of Stochastic Models

□ Channel noise and interference

□ Source of information, such as voice

Notion of Relative Frequency

- □ How to determine the probability of "head appearance" for a coin?
- □ *Answer*: Relative frequency.

Specifically, by carrying out *n* coin-tossing experiments, the *relative frequency* of head appearance is equal to $N_n(A)/n$, where $N_n(A)$ is the number of head appearance in these *n* random experiments.

Notion of Relative Frequency

- □ Is *relative frequency* close to the *true probability* (of head appearance)?
 - It could occur that 4-out-of-10 tossing results are "head" for a fair coin!
- Can one guarantee that the true "head appearance probability" remains *unchanged* (i.e., *time-invariant*) in each experiment performed at different time instance?

Notion of Relative Frequency

Similarly, the previous question can be extended to "In a communication system, can we estimate the noise by *repetitive measurements* at *consecutive but different* time instance?"

□ Some *assumptions* on the statistical models are necessary!

Conditional Probability

Definition of conditional probability $\begin{pmatrix} N & (A \cap B) \end{pmatrix} = P(A \cap B)$

$$P(B \mid A) \quad \left(\approx \frac{N_n(A \mid B)}{N_n(A)} \right) = \frac{P(A \mid B)}{P(A)}$$

- □ Independence of events P(B | A) = P(B)
 - A knowledge of occurrence of event *A* tells us no more about the probability of occurrence of event *B* than we knew without this knowledge.
 - Hence, they are *statistically* independent.

Random Variable

 \square A non-negative function $f_X(x)$ satisfies

$$F_X(x) = \Pr(X \le x) = \int_{-\infty}^x f_X(t) dt$$

- is called the *probability density function* (pdf) of random variable *X*.
- \Box If the pdf of *X* exists, then

$$f_X(x) = \frac{\partial F_X(x)}{\partial x}$$

Random Variable

□ It is not necessarily true that ■ If $f_X(x) = \frac{\partial F_X(x)}{\partial x}$,

then the pdf of X exists and equals $f_X(x)$.

Random Vector

If its joint density $f_{X,Y}(x,y)$ exists, then $f_{X,Y}(x,y) = \frac{\partial^2 F_{X,Y}(x,y)}{\partial x \partial y}$ where $F_{X,Y}(x,y) = \Pr[X \le x \text{ and } Y \le y]$

The conditional density of *Y* given that [X=x] is $f_{Y|X}(y|x) = \frac{f_{X,Y}(x,y)}{f_X(x)}$

provided that $f_X(x) \neq 0$.

Random Process

- Random process: An extension of *multidimensional* random vectors
 - Representation of two-dimensional random vector
 □ (X,Y) = (X(1), X(2)) = {X(j), j∈I}, where the index set *I* equals {1, 2}.
 - Representation of *m*-dimensional random vector $[X(j), j \in I]$, where the index set *I* equals $\{1, 2, ..., m\}$.

Random Process

- How about $\{X(t), t \in \Re\}$?
 - □ It is no longer a random vector since the index set is continuous!
 - □ This is a suitable model for, e.g., a noise because a noise often exists continuously in time.

Stationarity

- The statistical property of a random process encountered in real world is often *independent* of the time at which the observation (or experiment) is initiated.
- □ Mathematically, this can be formulated as that for any $t_1, t_2, ..., t_k$ and τ :

$$F_{X(t_1+\tau),X(t_2+\tau),...,X(t_k+\tau)}(x_1,x_2,...,x_k)$$

= $F_{X(t_1),X(t_2),...,X(t_k)}(x_1,x_2,...,x_k)$

Stationarity

□ Why introducing "stationarity?"

- With stationarity, we can be certain that the observations made at different instances of time have the same distributions!
- For example, X(0), X(T), X(2T), X(3T),

Suppose that $\Pr[X(0) = 0] = \Pr[X(0)=1] = \frac{1}{2}$. Can we guarantee that the *relative frequency* of "1's appearance" for experiments performed at **several** different instances of time approach $\frac{1}{2}$ by stationarity? No, we need an additional assumption!

Mean Function

□ The mean of a random process X(t) at time t is equal to:

$$\mu_X(t) = E[X(t)] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x \cdot f_{X(t)}(x) dx$$

where $f_{X(t)}(\cdot)$ is the pdf of X(t) at time t.

 \square If X(t) is stationary, $\mu_X(t)$ is a constant for all t.

Autocorrelation

□ The autocorrelation function of a (possibly complex) random process X(t) is given by:

$$R_X(t_1, t_2) = E[X(t_1)X^*(t_2)]$$

= $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x_1 x_2^* f_{X(t_1), X(t_2)}(x_1, x_2) dx_1 dx_2$

□ If X(t) is *stationary*, the autocorrelation function $R_X(t_1, t_2)$ is equal to $R_X(t_1 - t_2, 0)$.

Autocorrelation

$$R_{X}(t_{1}, t_{2}) = E[X(t_{1})X^{*}(t_{2})]$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x_{1}x_{2}^{*}f_{X(t_{1}),X(t_{2})}(x_{1}, x_{2}) dx_{1}dx_{2}$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x_{1}x_{2}^{*}f_{X(t_{1}-t_{2}),X(0)}(x_{1}, x_{2}) dx_{1}dx_{2}$$

$$= E[X(t_{1}-t_{2})X^{*}(0)]$$

$$= R_{X}(t_{1}-t_{2}, 0)$$

$$= R_{X}(t_{1}-t_{2}) \longleftarrow A \text{ short-hand for autocorrelation function of a stationary process}$$

Autocorrelation

□ Conceptually,

- Autocorrelation function = "power correlation" between two time instances t_1 and t_2 .
- "Variance" is the degree of variation to the standard value (i.e., mean).

Autocovariance

$$C_X(t_1, t_2) = E[(X(t_1) - \mu_X(t_1))(X(t_2) - \mu_X(t_2))^*]$$

$$= E[X(t_1)X^*(t_2) - X(t_1)\mu_X^*(t_2) - \mu_X(t_1)X^*(t_2) + \mu_X(t_1)\mu_X^*(t_2)]$$

$$= E[X(t_1)X^*(t_2)] - E[X(t_1)]\mu_X^*(t_2) - \mu_X(t_1)E[X^*(t_2)] + \mu_X(t_1)\mu_X^*(t_2)]$$

$$= R_X(t_1, t_2) - \mu_X(t_1)\mu_X^*(t_2) - \mu_X(t_1)\mu_X^*(t_2) + \mu_X(t_1)\mu_X^*(t_2) + \mu_X(t_1)\mu_X^*(t_2)]$$

$$= R_X(t_1, t_2) - \mu_X(t_1)\mu_X^*(t_2)$$

$$= R_X(t_1, t_2) - \mu_X(t_1)\mu_X^*(t_2)$$

Autocovariance

 \Box If X(t) is stationary, $C_X(t_1, t_2)$ becomes

$$C_X(t_1, t_2) = R_X(t_1, t_2) - \mu_X(t_1)\mu_X^*(t_2)$$

= $R_X(t_1 - t_2, 0) - |\mu_X|^2$
= $C_X(t_1 - t_2, 0)$
= $C_X(t_1 - t_2)$

Wide-Sense Stationary (WSS)

- □ Since in most cases of practical interest, only the first two moments (i.e., $\mu_X(t)$ and $C_X(t_1, t_2)$) are concerned, an alternative definition of stationarity is introduced.
- □ Definition (Wide-Sense Stationarity) A random process X(t) is WSS if

 $\begin{cases} \mu_X(t) = \text{constant}; \\ C_X(t_1, t_2) = C_X(t_1 - t_2) \end{cases} \text{ or } \begin{cases} \mu_X(t) = \text{constant}; \\ R_X(t_1, t_2) = R_X(t_1 - t_2). \end{cases}$

Wide-Sense Stationary (WSS)

□ Alternative names for WSS

- weakly stationary
- stationary in the weak sense
- second-order stationary

□ If the first two moments of a random process exist (i.e., are finite), then *strictly stationary* implies *weakly stationary* (but not vice versa).

Cyclostationarity

Definition (Cyclostationarity) A random process X(t) is cyclostationary if there exists a constant T such that

$$\begin{cases} \mu_X(t+T) = \mu_X(t); \\ C_X(t_1+T, t_2+T) = C_X(t_1, t_2). \end{cases}$$

- **1.** Mean Square Value: $R_X(0) = E[|X(t)|^2]$
- 2. Conjugate Symmetry:

$$R_X(\tau) = R_X^*(-\tau)$$

- Recall that autocorrelation function = "power correlation" between two time instances t_1 and t_2 .
- For a WSS process, this "power correlation" only depends on time difference.
- Hence, we only need to deal with $R_X(\tau)$ here.

3. Real Part Peaks at zero: $|\text{Re}\{R_X(\tau)\}| \leq R_X(0)$

Proof:

$$0 \leq E\left[|X(t+\tau) \pm X(t)|^2\right]$$

$$= E[|X(t+\tau)|^2] + E[|X(t)|^2] \pm E[X(t+\tau)X^*(t)] \pm E[X(t)X^*(t+\tau)]$$

 $= R_X(0) + R_X(0) \pm R_X(\tau) \pm R_X(-\tau) \quad (R_X(-\tau) = R_X^*(\tau))$

$$= 2R_X(0) \pm 2\operatorname{Re}\{R_X(\tau)\}$$

Hence, $-R_X(0) \le \operatorname{Re}\{R_X(\tau)\} \le R_X(0)$

with equality holding when

$$\Pr[X(t+\tau) = X(t)] = \Pr[X(\tau) = X(0)] = 1$$

or
$$\Pr[X(t+\tau) = -X(t)] = \Pr[X(\tau) = -X(0)] = 1$$

- Operational meaning of autocorrelation function:
 - The "power" correlation of a random process at τ seconds apart.
 - The smaller $R_X(\tau)$ is, the less the correlation between X(t) and $X(t+\tau)$.

 \square Here, we assume X(t) is a real-valued random process.

If $R_X(\tau)$ decreases faster, the correlation decreases faster.



- □ Let $X(t) = A \cos(2\pi f_c t + \Theta)$, where Θ is uniformly distributed over $[-\pi, \pi)$.
 - Application: A local carrier at the receiver side may have a random "phase difference" with respect to the phase of the carrier at the transmitter side.



Then $\mu_{V}(t) = E[A\cos(2\pi f_{c}t + \Theta)]$ $= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} A\cos(2\pi f_c t + \theta) \frac{1}{2\pi} d\theta$ $=\frac{A}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\cos(\theta+2\pi f_{c}t)d\theta$ $=\frac{A}{2\pi}\left(\sin(\theta+2\pi f_c t)\right)_{-\pi}^{\pi}$ $=\frac{A}{2\pi}\left(\sin(\pi+2\pi f_c t)-\sin(-\pi+2\pi f_c t)\right)$ = 0.

 $R_{V}(t_{1},t_{2}) = E[A\cos(2\pi f_{c}t_{1}+\Theta) \cdot A\cos(2\pi f_{c}t_{2}+\Theta)]$ $=A^{2}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\cos(\theta+2\pi f_{c}t_{1})\cos(\theta+2\pi f_{c}t_{2})\frac{1}{2\pi}d\theta$ $=\frac{A^{2}}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\frac{1}{2}\left(\cos\left[\left(\theta+2\pi f_{c}t_{1}\right)+\left(\theta+2\pi f_{c}t_{2}\right)\right]\right)$ $+\cos\left[\left(\theta+2\pi f_{1}t_{1}\right)-\left(\theta+2\pi f_{2}t_{2}\right)\right]d\theta$ $=\frac{A^{2}}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\frac{1}{2}\left(\cos(2\theta+2\pi f_{c}(t_{1}+t_{2}))+\cos(2\pi f_{c}(t_{1}-t_{2}))\right)d\theta$ $=\frac{A^2}{2}\cos(2\pi f_c(t_1-t_2)).$ Hence, X(t) is WSS.



□ Let

$$X(t) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} A \cdot I_n \cdot p(t - nT - t_d)$$

where ..., I_{-2} , I_{-1} , I_0 , I_1 , I_2 , ... are independent, and each I_j is either -1 or +1 with equal probability, and

$$p(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & 0 \le t < T \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$





By assuming that *t_d* is uniformly distributed over [0, *T*), we obtain:

$$\mu_X(t) = E\left[\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} A \cdot I_n \cdot p(t - nT - t_d)\right]$$
$$= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} A \cdot E[I_n] \cdot E[p(t - nT - t_d)]$$
$$= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} A \cdot 0 \cdot E[p(t - nT - t_d)]$$
$$= 0$$

A useful probabilistic rule: E[X] = E[E[X|Y]]

So, we have:

$$E[X(t_1)X(t_2)] = E[E[X(t_1)X(t_2)|t_d]]$$

Note:
$$\begin{cases} E[X|Y] = \int_{\mathcal{X}} x f_{X|Y}(x|y) dx = g(y) \\ E[E[X|Y]] = \int_{\mathcal{Y}} g(y) f_Y(y) dy \end{cases}$$

© Po-Ning Chen@ece.nctu

Among $-\infty < n < \infty$, there is at most one *n* that can make

$$p(t_1 - nT - t_d)p(t_2 - nT - t_d) = 1$$

Without loss of generality, we let $t_1 = mT + \xi$ and $t_2 = t_1 - \tau$, where *m* is an integer and $0 \le \xi < T$.

$$p(t_1 - nT - t_d) = p(t_2 - nT - t_d) = 1$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \quad 0 \le t_1 - nT - t_d < T \text{ and } 0 \le t_2 - nT - t_d < T$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \quad \frac{t_1 - t_d}{T} - 1 < n \le \frac{t_1 - t_d}{T} \text{ and } \frac{t_2 - t_d}{T} - 1 < n \le \frac{t_2 - t_d}{T}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \quad \left\lfloor \frac{t_1 - t_d}{T} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{t_2 - t_d}{T} \right\rfloor$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \quad \left\lfloor \frac{mT + \xi - t_d}{T} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{mT + \xi - \tau - t_d}{T} \right\rfloor$$

© Po-Ning Chen@ece.nctu

$$\Leftrightarrow m + \left\lfloor \frac{\xi - t_d}{T} \right\rfloor = m + \left\lfloor \frac{\xi - \tau - t_d}{T} \right\rfloor$$

$$\Rightarrow \left\lfloor \frac{\xi - t_d}{T} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{\xi - \tau - t_d}{T} \right\rfloor$$

$$\Rightarrow \left\lfloor \frac{\xi - t_d}{T} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{\xi - \tau - t_d}{T} \right\rfloor = -1 \text{ or } 0$$

$$(\text{Note that } \left\lfloor \frac{\xi - t_d}{T} \right\rfloor = (1 \text{ or } 0 \text{ o$$

where in the last step, we use again $0 \le t_d < T$.

As a result,

$$\begin{split} E[X(t_1)X(t_2)] &= E\left[E[X(t_1)X(t_2)] \mid t_d\right]\right] \\ &= \begin{cases} \int_{\xi}^{T} A^2 \frac{1}{T} dt_d + \int_{0}^{\xi-\tau} A^2 \frac{1}{T} dt_d, & 0 \le \tau \le \xi; \\ \int_{\xi}^{\xi-\tau+T} A^2 \frac{1}{T} dt_d, & \xi < \tau < T, \end{cases} \\ &= A^2 \left(\frac{T-\tau}{T}\right), 0 \le \tau < T \\ \left(\begin{array}{cc} &= \begin{cases} A^2 \left(1 - \frac{|\tau|}{T}\right), & |\tau| < T; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}\right) \end{split}$$



Cross-Correlation

□ The cross-correlation between two processes X(t) and Y(t) is:

$$R_{X,Y}(t,u) = E[X(t)Y^*(u)]$$

□ Sometimes, their correlation matrix is given instead for convenience:

$$\mathbf{R}_{X,Y}(t,u) = \begin{bmatrix} R_X(t,u) & R_{X,Y}(t,u) \\ R_{Y,X}(t,u) & R_Y(t,u) \end{bmatrix}$$

 \Box If *X*(*t*) and *Y*(*t*) are jointly WSS, then

$$\mathbf{R}_{X,Y}(t,u) = \mathbf{R}_{X,Y}(t-u)$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} R_X(t-u) & R_{X,Y}(t-u) \\ R_{Y,X}(t-u) & R_Y(t-u) \end{bmatrix}$$

Consider a pair of quadrature decomposition of X(t) as: $\begin{cases}
X_{I}(t) = X(t)\cos(2\pi f_{c}t + \Theta) \\
X_{O}(t) = X(t)\sin(2\pi f_{c}t + \Theta)
\end{cases}$

where
$$\Theta$$
 is independent of $X(t)$ and is uniformly distributed over [0, 2π), and

$$X(t) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} A \cdot I_n \cdot p(t - nT - t_d).$$

$$\begin{split} R_{X_{I},X_{Q}}(t,u) &= E[X_{I}(t)X_{Q}(u)] \\ &= E[X(t)\cos(2\pi f_{c}t+\Theta)\cdot X(u)\sin(2\pi f_{c}u+\Theta)] \\ &= E[X(t)X(u)]E[\sin(2\pi f_{c}u+\Theta)\cos(2\pi f_{c}t+\Theta)] \\ &= R_{X}(t,u)E\left[\frac{\sin(2\pi f_{c}(t+u)+2\Theta)+\sin(2\pi f_{c}(u-t))}{2}\right] \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\sin(2\pi f_{c}(t-u))R_{X}(t,u) \end{split}$$



Notably, if t = u, i.e., two quadrature components are synchronized, then

 $R_{X_I,X_Q}(t,t)=0$

which indicates that simultaneous observations of the quadrature-modulated processes are "orthogonal" to each other!

(See Slide 1-59 for a formal definition of orthogonality.)

- □ For a random-process-modeled noise (or random-process-modeled source) X(t), how can we know its mean and variance?
 - Answer: Relative frequency.
 - How can we get the relative frequency?
 - □ By measuring $X(t_1), X(t_2), ..., X(t_n)$, and calculating their *average*, it is expected that this *time average* will be close to its *mean*.
- Question: Will this *time average* be close to its *mean*, if X(t) is stationary ?
 - Even if for a stationary process, the mean function $\mu_X(t)$ is independent of time *t*, the answer is negative!



An additional *ergodicity* assumption is necessary for *time average* converging to the *ensemble average* μ_X .

Time Average versus Ensemble Average

□ Example

- X(t) is stationary.
- For any *t*, *X*(*t*) is uniformly distributed over {1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6}.
- Then, *ensemble average* is equal to:

$$1 \cdot \frac{1}{6} + 2 \cdot \frac{1}{6} + 3 \cdot \frac{1}{6} + 4 \cdot \frac{1}{6} + 5 \cdot \frac{1}{6} + 6 \cdot \frac{1}{6} = 3.5$$

Time Average versus Ensemble Average

- We make a series of observations at time 0, *T*, 2*T*, ..., 10*T* to obtain 1, 2, 3, 4, 3, 2, 5, 6, 4, 1. (These observations are deterministic!)
- Then, the *time average* is equal to:

$$\frac{1+2+3+4+3+2+5+6+4+1}{10} = 3.1$$

Definition. A stationary process X(t) is *ergodic*

in the mean if Time average $\operatorname{Ensemble}_{\operatorname{average}}$ 1. $\Pr\left[\lim_{T \to \infty} \mu_X(T) = \mu_X\right] = 1$, and 2. $\lim_{T \to \infty} \operatorname{Var}\left[\mu_X(T)\right] = 0$

where

$$\mu_X(T) = \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{T} X(t) dt$$

Definition. A stationary process *X*(*t*) is *ergodic in the autocorrelation function* if

Time average
1.
$$\Pr\left[\lim_{T \to \infty} R_X(\tau;T) = R_X(\tau)\right] = 1$$
, and
2. $\lim_{T \to \infty} \operatorname{Var}\left[R_X(\tau;T)\right] = 0$

where
$$R_X(\tau;T) = \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{T} X(t+\tau) X^*(t) dt$$

1

- Experiments (or observations) on the same process can only be performed at different time.
- "Stationarity" only guarantees that the observations made at different time come from the same distribution.
 - Example. Rolling two different fair dices will get two results but the two results have the same distribution.

Statistical Average of Random Variables

- □ Alternative names of *ensemble average*
 - Mean
 - Expected value, or expectation value
 - Sample average
- □ How about the sample average of a function g() of a random variable X?

$$E[g(X)] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x) f_X(x) dx$$

Statistical Average of Random Variables

□ The *n*th *moment* of random variable *X*

$$E[X^n] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^n f_X(x) dx$$

The 2nd moment is also named mean-square value.
 The nth central moment of random variable X

$$E[|X - \mu_X|^n] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x - \mu_X|^n f_X(x) dx$$

The 2nd central moment is also named variance.

Square root of the 2nd central moment is also named standard deviation.

Joint Moments

 \Box The joint moment of *X* and *Y* is given by:

$$E[X^i(Y^*)^j] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^i (y^*)^j f_{X,Y}(x,y) dx dy$$

- When i = j = 1, the joint moment is specifically named *correlation*.
- The correlation of centered random variables is specifically named *covariance*.

$$Cov[X,Y] = E[(X - \mu_X)(Y - \mu_Y)^*] = E[XY^*] - \mu_X \mu_Y^*$$

Joint Moments

- Two random variables, *X* and *Y*, are *uncorrelated* if Cov[X, Y] = 0.
- Two random variables, *X* and *Y*, are *orthogonal* if $E[XY^*] = 0$.
- The covariance, normalized by two standard deviations, is named *correlation coefficient* of *X* and *Y*.

$$\rho = \frac{\operatorname{Cov}[X,Y]}{\sigma_X \sigma_Y}$$

Stable Linear Time-Invariant (LTI) System



Linear

• Y(t) is a linear function of X(t).

Specifically, Y(t) is a weighted sum of X(t).

□ Time-invariant

The weights are time-independent.

□ Stable

Dirichlet's condition (defined later) and

 $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |h(\tau)|^2 d\tau < \infty$

• "Stability" implies that if the input is an energy function (i.e., finite energy), the output is an energy function.

Example of LTI Filter: Mobile Radio Channel



© Po-Ning Chen@ece.nctu

Example of LTI Filter: Mobile Radio Channel



Stable Linear Time-Invariant (LTI) System

- □ What are the mean and autocorrelation functions of the LTI filter output Y(t)?
 - Suppose X(t) is stationary and has finite mean.
 - Suppose $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |h(\tau)| d\tau < \infty$

Then

$$\mu_{Y}(t) = E[Y(t)] = E\left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(\tau)X(t-\tau)d\tau\right]$$
$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(\tau)E[X(t-\tau)]d\tau = \mu_{X}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(\tau)d\tau$$

Zero-Frequency (ZF) or Direct Current (DC) Response



The mean of the *LTI filter output process* is equal to the mean of the *stationary filter input* multiplied by the *DC response* of the system.

$$\mu_Y = \mu_X \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(\tau) d\tau$$

Autocorrelation Relation of LTI system

$$\begin{aligned} R_{Y}(t,u) &= E[Y(t)Y^{*}(u)] \\ &= E\left[\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(\tau_{1})X(t-\tau_{1})d\tau_{1}\right)\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(\tau_{2})X(u-\tau_{2})d\tau_{2}\right)^{*}\right] \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(\tau_{1})h^{*}(\tau_{2})E[X(t-\tau_{1})X^{*}(u-\tau_{2})]d\tau_{2}d\tau_{1} \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(\tau_{1})h^{*}(\tau_{2})R_{X}(t-\tau_{1},u-\tau_{2})d\tau_{2}d\tau_{1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{ If } X(t) \text{ WSS},$$

then $R_Y(\tau) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(\tau_1) h^*(\tau_2) R_X(\tau - \tau_1 + \tau_2) d\tau_2 d\tau_1.$

Important Fact: WSS Input Induces WSS Output

□ From the above derivations, we conclude:

For a stable LTI filter, a WSS input guarantees to induce a WSS output.

In general (not necessarily WSS),

$$\mu_Y(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(\tau) \mu_X(t-\tau) d\tau$$
$$R_Y(t,u) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(\tau_1) h^*(\tau_2) R_X(t-\tau_1, u-\tau_2) d\tau_2 d\tau_1$$

As the above two quantities also relate in the "convolution" form, a spectrum analysis is perhaps better in characterizing their relationship.

Summary

- □ Random variable, random vector and random process
- □ Autocorrelation and crosscorrelation
- Definition of WSS
- □ Why ergodicity?
 - Time average as a good "estimate" of ensemble average