Introduction to Combinatorics

Lecture 6

# Ramsey Theory

This topic plays an important role in learning the structure of graphs. Moreover, it does have important applications. (?)

**Definition 6.1.** The Ramsey number R(s,t) is the smallest value "n" for which either a graph G of order n contains  $K_s$  or  $K_t \leq \overline{G}$  (the complement of G).

**Definition 6.2** (Edge-coloring version of Ramsey number). The Ramsey number R(s,t) is the smallest value "n" for which any 2-edge-colored  $K_n$  (red and blue), either there exists a red  $K_s$  or a blue  $K_t$ . (A red  $K_s$  is a complete graph of order s such that all its edges are colored red.)

*Remark.* R(3,3) = 6 (Do you know this fact?)

**Theorem 6.1.** The following statements are true:

- 1. R(s, 2) = s and R(2, t) = t,
- 2. R(s,t) = R(t,s),
- 3. For s > 2 and t > 2,  $R(s,t) \le R(s,t-1) + R(s-1,t)$ , and 4.  $R(s,t) \le {\binom{s+t-2}{s-1}} = {\binom{s+t-2}{t-1}}$ .

Proof.

1. and 2. are easy to see.

#### Claim of 3.

Let n = R(s, t - 1) + R(s - 1, t). Then, in  $K_n$ , each vertex is of degree R(s, t - 1) + R(s - 1, t) - 1. Therefore, if  $K_n$  is 2-edge-colored by red and blue, then the edges incident to a fixed vertex  $x \in V(K_n)$  are either red edges or blue edges. By Pigeon-hole principle, either there are R(s, t - 1) blue edges or R(s - 1, t) red edges. If the first case holds, then in  $\langle N_{K_n}(x) \rangle_{K_n}$  (a complete graph of order R(s, t - 1)), either there exists a red  $K_s$  or a blue  $K_{t-1}$ . Hence, we have a red  $K_s$  or a blue  $K_t$  in  $K_n$ . The other case can be obtain by a similar argument.

# Claim of 4.

By inductive argument. (Or induction.)

$$R(s,t) \leq R(s,t-1) + R(s-1,t)$$

$$\leq \binom{s+t-1-2}{s-1} + \binom{s-1+t-2}{t-1}$$

$$\leq \binom{s+t-3}{s-1} + \binom{s+t-3}{s-2}$$

$$\leq \binom{s+t-3+1}{s-1}$$

$$\leq \binom{s+t-2}{s-1}$$

1				
1				
	_	_	_	

**Theorem 6.2** (Erdös and Szekeres, 1935). For each  $s \ge 2$ ,

$$R(s) \le \frac{2^{2s-2}}{s^{1/2}}.$$

$$(R(s) =_{def} R(s, s).)$$
Proof.  $R(s, s) \leq \binom{2s-2}{s-1}$ . We claim that  $\binom{2s-2}{s-1} \leq \frac{2^{2s-2}}{s^{1/2}}$  by induction on  $s$ .

First, if  $s = 2, 2 \le \frac{4}{\sqrt{2}}$ , the assertion is true. Assume that the assertion is true for s = k, thus  $\binom{2k-2}{k-1} \le \frac{2^{2k-2}}{k^{1/2}}$ . Now, we calculate  $\binom{2k}{k} = \frac{(2k)!}{k!!}$ 

$$\binom{k}{k} = \frac{k!k!}{k!k!}$$

$$= \frac{2k \cdot (2k-1) \cdot (2k-2)!}{k^2 \cdot (k-1)! \cdot (k-1)!}$$

$$= \frac{2k(2k-1)}{k^2} \binom{2k-2}{k-1}$$

$$\leq \frac{4k-2}{k} \cdot \frac{2^{2k-2}}{k^{1/2}}$$

$$= \frac{4k-2}{4k} \cdot \frac{2^{2k}}{k^{1/2}}.$$

$$= \frac{4k-2}{4k} \cdot \frac{2^{2k}}{k^{1/2}}.$$

Since  $(k+1)^{1/2} \le \frac{4k \cdot k^{1/2}}{4k-2}$ , we conclude that  $\binom{2k}{k} \le \frac{2^{2k}}{(k+1)^{1/2}}$ .

Remark.

• The result has been there for almost 50 years before the improvement due to Thomason in 1988:  $R(s) \leq \frac{2^{2s}}{s}$ .

• The original proof by Ramsey shows that  $R(s) \le 2^{2s-3} = \frac{2^{2s-2}}{2}$ . (1930)

**Theorem 6.3.** For  $k \geq 3$ ,

$$R(k) \ge \lceil 2^{k/2} \rceil.$$

*Proof.* (Probabilistic method)

Consider a random red-blue coloring of the edges of  $K_n$ . For a fixed set T of k vertices, let  $A_T$  be the event that  $\langle T \rangle_{K_n}$  is monochromatic. Hence,  $P(A_T) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\binom{k}{2}} \cdot 2$  (red or blue)  $= 2^{1-\binom{k}{2}}$ . Since there are  $\binom{n}{k}$  possible sets for T, the probability that at least one of  $A_T$  occurs is  $\binom{n}{k} \cdot 2^{1-\binom{k}{2}}$ . Now, if  $\binom{n}{k} \cdot 2^{1-\binom{k}{2}} < 1$ , then no event  $A_T$  occurs is of positive probability, i.e., there exists a coloring of edges such that no monochromatic  $K_k$ occurs. Therefore, for such n, R(k) > n.

Let  $n = \lfloor 2^{k/2} \rfloor$ . It suffices to show that  $\binom{n}{k} \cdot 2^{1 - \binom{k}{2}} < 1$ .  $\binom{n}{k} \cdot 2^{1 - \binom{k}{2}} < \frac{n^k}{k!} \cdot \frac{2^{1 + \frac{k}{2}}}{2^{\frac{k^2}{2}}} \qquad (1 - \binom{k}{2}) = 1 - \frac{k^2}{2} + \frac{k}{2})$   $\leq \frac{(2^{\frac{k}{2}})^k}{k!} \cdot \frac{2^{1 + \frac{k}{2}}}{2^{\frac{k^2}{2}}}$   $\leq \frac{2^{1 + \frac{k}{2}}}{k!}$  < 1.  $(k \ge 3)$ 

Hence,  $R(k) \ge \lceil 2^{k/2} \rceil$ .

*Remark.* Combining Theorems above we obtain:  $2^{s/2} \le R(s) \le 2^{2s-3}$  for  $s \ge 2$ .

**Open Problem.**  $R(s) = 2^{(c+o(1))s}$  (c may be equal to 1).

t s	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
3	6	9	14	18	23	28	36
4		18	25	36-41	49-61	59-84	73-115
5			43-48	58-87	80-143	101-216	133-316
6				102-165	115-298	134-495	183-780
7					205-540	217-1031	252-1713
8						282-1870	329-3583
9							565-6588

Theorem 6.	.4.	Known	results	of $R($	(s,t).	(R(t,s))	) = R(s,t)
------------	-----	-------	---------	---------	--------	----------	------------

Table 0.1
-----------

• The result of lower bounds are obtained by "a special edge-coloring" with two colors. Corresponding to the coloring we have G and  $\overline{G}$  of order (prescribed).



## Research Problem.

- Find as many vertices (n) as possible such that a graph G of order n satisfying  $K_5 \not\leq G$  and  $K_5 \not\leq \overline{G}$ . (Try 43!)
- Find a better upper bound for R(s). (Do your best!)

We can extend the notion R(s,t) to  $R(p_1, p_2, ..., p_t)$  by using the coloring version. For R(s,t), we consider 2-coloring the edges of  $K_n$  for some n. Now, we color the edges of  $K_n$  by using t colors. Hence, we are looking for the existence of monochromatic  $K_{p_i}$  using color i (the *i*-th color).

**Definition 6.3.**  $R(p_1, p_2, ..., p_t) = \min\{n | \text{ for each } t\text{-coloring of } E(K_n), \text{ there exists a } i\text{-monochromatic } K_{p_i} \text{ for some } 1 \le i \le t\}.$ 

Notice that the order of  $p_i$ 's is important since they may not be the same. In case that  $p_1 = p_2 = \cdots = p_t = s$ , we denote it by  $R_t(s)$ . For example, we will prove that  $R_k(3) = \lfloor e \cdot k! \rfloor + 1$ . (?) The proof relies on using the generalized Pigeon-hole principle.

Definition 6.4 (Pigeon-hole principle).

- If there are n holes (cages) to hold  $n \cdot k n + 1$  pigeons, then at least one of them will have k pigeons.
- If the *n* holes are of size  $a_1, a_2, ..., a_n$ , then  $n \cdot k$  can be replaced by  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i$  and the *i*-th hole will have  $a_i$  pigeons for some  $1 \le i \le n$ .

### Theorem 6.5.

$$R(p_1, p_2, ..., p_t) \le R(p_1 - 1, p_2, ..., p_t) + R(p_1, p_2 - 1, ..., p_t) + R(p_1, p_2, ..., p_t - 1) - t + 2.$$

*Proof.* By a similar argument as the proof  $R(s,t) \leq R(s,t-1) + R(s-1,t)$ .

Remark.

- $R(3,3,3) \le 6 + 6 + 6 3 + 2 = 17$  (Theorem 6.6)
- There exists a 3-edge-coloring of  $K_{16}$  such that no monochromatic triangles occur.

$$R(3,3,...,3) =_{def} R_k(3) \le \lfloor e \cdot k! \rfloor + 1.$$
  
(k-tuples)

*Proof.* Since R(3,3) = 6, R(3,3,3) = 17, the assertion is true for k = 2 and 3. Assume that it holds for k - 1 when k > 3. Hence,  $R_{k-1}(3) \leq \lfloor e \cdot (k-1)! \rfloor + 1$ . By Theorem 6.5,

$$R_k(3) \le k(\lfloor e \cdot (k-1)! \rfloor + 1) - k + 2$$
$$= k \lfloor e \cdot (k-1)! \rfloor + 2.$$

Now,

$$k \lfloor e \cdot (k-1)! \rfloor = k \lfloor (k-1)! \cdot (1 + \frac{1}{1!} + \frac{1}{2!} + \dots + \frac{1}{(k-1)!} + \frac{1}{k!} + \dots) \rfloor$$
  
=  $k \lfloor M + \frac{1}{k} + \frac{1}{k(k+1)} + \frac{1}{k(k+1)(k+2)} + \dots \rfloor$   
:  
=  $\lfloor e \cdot k! \rfloor - 1.$  (?)

*Remark.* Instead of R(s,t), we use  $R(H_1, H_2)$  to denote the smallest integer n such that any 2-edge-coloring (red, blue) of  $K_n$ , either there exists a red  $H_1$  or a blue  $H_2$ .