Basic Theories On Order Statistics

Po-Ning Chen, Professor

Institute of Communications Engineering

National Chiao Tung University

Hsin Chu, Taiwan 30010, R.O.C.

Distribution of the two-end order statistic Assumption X_1, \ldots, X_n are i.i.d. with marginal cdf $F(\cdot)$. Then

$$F_{(n)}(x) = \Pr[X_{(n)} \le x]$$

=
$$\Pr[\max_{1 \le i \le n} X_n \le x]$$

=
$$\Pr[X_1 \le x \land \dots \land X_n \le x]$$

=
$$\Pr[X_1 \le x] \cdots \Pr[X_n \le x]$$

=
$$F^n(x).$$

Likewise,

$$F_{(1)}(x) = \Pr[X_{(1)} \le x]$$

= 1 - \Pr[X_{(1)} > x]
= 1 - (1 - F(x))^n.

How about the distribution of $X_{(r)}$?

Density of
$$X_{(r)}$$

OR2-3

$$F_{(r)}(x) = \Pr[X_{(r)} \le x]$$

= $\Pr[\text{at least } r \text{ of the } X_i \text{ are less than or equal to } x]$
= $\sum_{i=r}^n \binom{n}{i} F^i(x) [1 - F(x)]^{n-i}$
= $I_{F(x)}(r, n - r + 1).$

For
$$a > 0, b > 0$$
 and $0 \le p \le 1$,

$$I_p(a, b) = \frac{\int_0^p t^{a-1} (1-t)^{b-1} dt}{\int_0^1 t^{a-1} (1-t)^{b-1} dt}$$

is the *incomplete beta function*.

A well-known result for the incomplete beta function is:

$$\sum_{i=r}^{n} \binom{n}{i} p^{i} (1-p)^{n-i} = I_{p}(r, n-r+1).$$

Density of $X_{(r)}$

If X has density, then so does $X_{(r)}$.

The density of $X_{(r)}$ is equal to:

$$\begin{split} f_{(r)}(x) &= \frac{dI_{F(x)}(r,n-r+1)}{dx} \\ &= \frac{1}{\int_0^1 t^{r-1}(1-t)^{n-r}dt} \frac{d}{dx} \int_0^{F(x)} t^{r-1}(1-t)^{n-r}dt \\ &= \frac{1}{B(r,n-r+1)} F^{r-1}(x) [1-F(x)]^{n-r} f(x), \end{split}$$

where

$$B(a,b) = \int_0^1 t^{a-1}(1-t)^{b-1}dt = \frac{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(a+b)}$$

is the Euler beta function, and $\Gamma(a) = \int_0^\infty t^{a-1} e^{-t} dt$ is the Euler gamma function.

OR2-4

Joint distribution of several order statistics OR2-5

Denote the joint density function of $X_{(r)}$ and $X_{(s)}$ by the assumed existing $f_{(r,s)}(x, y)$, where $1 \le r < s \le n$.

 $f_{(r,s)}$ can be derived in an explicit way for x < y. $(f_{(r,s)}(x,y) = 0$ for x > y!)

In other words,

$$\Pr\left[\left(x < X_{(r)} \le x + \delta x\right) \land \left(y < X_{(s)} \le y + \delta y\right)\right]$$

The above event can be described as:

- 1. (r-1) of X's are less than x;
- 2. one X's lies between x and $x + \delta x$;
- 3. (s r 1) of X's lies between $x + \delta x$ and y;
- 4. one X's lies between y and $y + \delta y$;
- 5. (n-s) of X's is larger than $y + \delta y$.

Joint distribution of several order statistics

Hence, we can estimate $f_{(r,s)}(x, y)$ for x < y through:

$$\frac{n!}{(r-1)! \cdot 1! \cdot (s-r-1)! \cdot 1! \cdot (n-s)!} \times F^{r-1}(x) [F(x+\delta x) - F(x)] [F(y) - F(x+\delta x)]^{s-r-1} [F(y+\delta y) - F(y)] [1 - F(y)]^{n-s}.$$

By dividing δx and δy and letting them approaching 0, we obtain that for x < y,

$$= \frac{f_{(r,s)}(x,y)}{(r-1)! \cdot (s-r-1)! \cdot (n-s)!} F^{r-1}(x) f(x) [F(y) - F(x)]^{s-r-1} f(y) [1 - F(y)]^{n-s}.$$

Joint distribution of several order statistics

OR2-7

As a result, for $x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_k$,

$$= \frac{f_{(n_1,\dots,n_k)}(x_1,\dots,x_k)}{n!}$$

$$= \frac{n!}{(n_1-1)!(n_2-n_1-1)!\cdots(n-n_k)!}$$

$$F^{n_1-1}(x_1)f(x_1)[F(x_2)-F(x_1)]^{n_2-n_1-1}f(x_2)[F(x_3)-F(x_2)]^{n_3-n_2-1}\cdots f(x_k)[1-F(x_k)]^{n-n_k}$$

$$= n! \left[\prod_{j=1}^k f(x_j)\right] \left[\prod_{j=0}^k \frac{[F(x_{j+1})-F(x_j)]^{n_{j+1}-n_j-1}}{(n_{j+1}-n_j-1)!}\right],$$

where $x_0 = -\infty$, $x_{k+1} = \infty$, $n_0 = 0$ and $n_{k+1} = n + 1$.

Joint distribution of several order statistics OR2-8

The joint cdf of $X_{(r)}$ and $X_{(s)}$, where $1 \le r < s \le n$, can be derived directly (or by integrating $f_{(r,s)}(x, y)$) as that for x < y:

$$\begin{aligned} F_{(r,s)}(x,y) &= \Pr\left[\text{at least } r \text{ of } X's \leq x \text{ and at least } s \text{ of } X's \leq y\right] \\ &= \sum_{j=s}^{n} \sum_{i=r}^{j} \Pr\left[\text{exactly } i \text{ of } X's \leq x \text{ and exactly } j \text{ of } X's \leq y\right] \\ &= \sum_{j=s}^{n} \sum_{i=r}^{j} \frac{n!}{i!(j-i)!(n-j)!} F^{i}(x) [F(y) - F(x)]^{j-i} [1 - F(y)]^{n-j}, \end{aligned}$$

and for $x \ge y$,

$$F_{(r,s)}(x,y) = \Pr\left[\text{at least } r \text{ of } X's \leq x \text{ and at least } s \text{ of } X's \leq y\right]$$

= $\Pr\left[\text{at least } s \text{ of } X's \leq y\right]$
= $F_{(s)}(y).$

Since we have the joint distribution of $X_{(r)}$ and $X_{(s)}$, we can derive the distribution of range $W_{(r,s)} = X_{(s)} - X_{(r)}$.

$$= \frac{f_{(r,s)}(x,y)}{(r-1)! \cdot (s-r-1)! \cdot (n-s)!} F^{r-1}(x) f(x) [F(y) - F(x)]^{s-r-1} f(y) [1 - F(y)]^{n-s}$$

$$\omega_{(r,s)}(w) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{(r,s)}(x, w+x) dx \quad \text{(for } w > 0)$$

$$\begin{split} f_{(1,n)}(x,y) &= \frac{n!}{(n-2)!} f(x) [F(y) - F(x)]^{n-2} f(y). \\ \omega_{(1,n)}(w) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{n!}{(n-2)!} f(x) f(w+x) [F(w+x) - F(x)]^{n-2} dx \end{split}$$

The cdf of
$$W_{(1,n)}$$
 is:

$$\Omega_{(1,n)}(w) = \int_0^w \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{n!}{(n-2)!} f(x) f(z+x) [F(z+x) - F(x)]^{n-2} dx dz$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{n!}{(n-2)!} f(x) \int_0^w f(z+x) [F(z+x) - F(x)]^{n-2} dz dx$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{n!}{(n-2)!} f(x) \left(\frac{1}{n-1} [F(z+x) - F(x)]^{n-1} \Big|_0^w\right) dx$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^\infty n f(x) [F(w+x) - F(x)]^{n-1} dx.$$

Example Suppose f(x) = 1 for $0 \le x < 1$, and 0, otherwise.

- $F_{(r)}(x) = I_{F(x)}(r, n-r+1) = I_x(r, n-r+1)$ for $0 \le x < 1$, and 0, otherwise.
- For $0 \le x < 1$,

$$f_{(r)}(x) = \frac{1}{B(r, n - r + 1)} F^{r-1}(x) [1 - F(x)]^{n-r} f(x)$$
$$= \frac{1}{B(r, n - r + 1)} x^{r-1} (1 - x)^{n-r}$$

So, $X_{(r)}$ is beta distributed.

• For $1 \le r < s \le n$ and $0 \le x \le y \le 1$,

$$= \frac{f_{(r,s)}(x,y)}{(r-1)!(s-r-1)!(n-s)!} F^{r-1}(x) f(x) [F(y) - F(x)]^{s-r-1} f(y) [1 - F(y)]^{n-s}$$

=
$$\frac{n!}{(r-1)!(s-r-1)!(n-s)!} x^{r-1} (y-x)^{s-r-1} (1-y)^{n-s}.$$

OR2-12

$$\bullet \ (0 \le x \le y = w + x \le 1)$$

$$\begin{split} \omega_{(r,s)}(w) &= \int_{0}^{1-w} \frac{n!}{(r-1)!(s-r-1)!(n-s)!} x^{r-1} ((w+x)-x)^{s-r-1} (1-(w+x))^{n-s} dx \\ &= \frac{n!}{(r-1)!(s-r-1)!(n-s)!} w^{s-r-1} \int_{0}^{1-w} x^{r-1} ((1-w)-x))^{n-s} dx \\ &= \frac{n!}{(r-1)!(s-r-1)!(n-s)!} w^{(s-r)-1} (1-w)^{n-(s-r)} \int_{0}^{1} z^{r-1} (1-z)^{n-s} dz \\ &\quad (\text{Let } x = z(1-w).) \\ &= \frac{n!}{(r-1)!(s-r-1)!(n-s)!} w^{(s-r)-1} (1-w)^{n-(s-r)} B(r,n-s+1) \\ &= \frac{n!}{(r-1)!(s-r-1)!(n-s)!} w^{(s-r)-1} (1-w)^{n-(s-r)} \frac{\Gamma(r)\Gamma(n-s+1)}{\Gamma(n+r-s+1)} \\ &= \frac{n!}{(r-1)!(s-r-1)!(n-s)!} w^{(s-r)-1} (1-w)^{n-(s-r)} \frac{(r-1)!(n-s)!}{(n+r-s)!} \\ &= \frac{n!}{((s-r)-1)!(n-(s-r))!} w^{(s-r)-1} (1-w)^{n-(s-r)} \frac{(r-1)!(n-s)!}{(n+r-s)!} \end{split}$$

which is also beta distributed, and is only dependent on s - r and not on individual r and s.

Discrete parents

Assume (without loss of generality) that X takes values over $\{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$.

The distribution of $X_{(r)}$ is still:

$$F_{(r)}(x) = \Pr[X_{(r)} \le x]$$

= Pr[at least r of the X_i are less than or equal to x]

$$= \sum_{i=r}^{n} {n \choose i} F^{i}(x) [1 - F(x)]^{n-i}$$

= $I_{F(x)}(r, n - r + 1).$

So for non-negative integer x,

$$Pr[X_{(r)} = x] = F_{(r)}(x) - F_{(r)}(x-1)$$

= $I_{F(x)}(r, n-r+1) - I_{F(x-1)}(r, n-r+1).$

OR2-14

The joint cdf of $X_{(r)}$ and $X_{(s)}$, where $1 \leq r < s \leq n$, can be derived directly as that for non-negative integers x < y:

$$\begin{aligned} F_{(r,s)}(x,y) &= \Pr\left[\text{at least } r \text{ of } X's \leq x \text{ and at least } s \text{ of } X's \leq y\right] \\ &= \sum_{j=s}^{n} \sum_{i=r}^{j} \Pr\left[\text{exactly } i \text{ of } X's \leq x \text{ and exactly } j \text{ of } X's \leq y\right] \\ &= \sum_{j=s}^{n} \sum_{i=r}^{j} \frac{n!}{i!(j-i)!(n-j)!} F^{i}(x) [F(y) - F(x)]^{j-i} [1 - F(y)]^{n-j}, \end{aligned}$$

and for non-negative integers $x \ge y$,

$$F_{(r,s)}(x,y) = \Pr\left[\text{at least } r \text{ of } X's \leq x \text{ and at least } s \text{ of } X's \leq y\right]$$

= $\Pr\left[\text{at least } s \text{ of } X's \leq y\right]$
= $F_{(s)}(y).$

This gives that for non-negative integers $x \leq y$,

$$\Pr[X_{(r)} = x \land X_{(s)} = y] = \begin{cases} F_{(r,s)}(x,y) - F_{(r,s)}(x-1,y) - F_{(r,s)}(x,y-1) + F_{(r,s)}(x-1,y-1), \\ & \text{if } x \le y; \\ & 0, \\ & \text{if } x > y. \end{cases}$$

OR2-15

An alternative but equivalent expression for $\Pr[X_{(r)} = x \land X_{(s)} = y]$ is as follows.

For non-negative integers x and y with x < y,

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \hline (r-i) \leq (r-1) \\ \hline (r-i) & (i+t) \\ \hline < x & x \cdots x \end{array} & \begin{array}{c|c} \hline (s-u) \leq (s-1) \\ \hline (s-u-(r+t)) \\ \hline > x \text{ and } < y \end{array} & \begin{array}{c|c} (u+j) & (n-(s+j)) \\ \hline (u+j) \\ \hline y \cdots y \\ \hline > y \end{array}$$

Denote $\Pr[X = x]$ by p_x .

Then the probability of the above snapshot case is equal to:

$$F^{r-i}(x-1)p_x^{i+t}[F(y-1) - F(x)]^{s-u-r-t}p_y^{u+j}[1 - F(y)]^{n-s-j}$$

Therefore,

$$\Pr[X_{(r)} = x \land X_{(s)} = y]$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{(r-i) \le (r-1), (s-u) \le (s-1), j \ge 0, t \ge 0 \\ r-i \ge 0, i+t \ge 1, s-u-(r+t) \ge 0, u+j \ge 1, n-(s+j) \ge 0}}$$

$$A_{i,j,u,t} F^{r-i}(x-1) p_x^{i+t} [F(y-1) - F(x)]^{s-u-r-t} p_y^{u+j} [1 - F(y)]^{n-s-j}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=0}^{n-s} \sum_{u=\max\{1-j,1\}=1}^{s-r} \sum_{t=\max\{1-i,0\}=0}^{s-r-u} A_{i,j,u,t} F^{r-i}(x-1) p_x^{i+t} [F(y-1) - F(x)]^{s-u-r-t} p_y^{u+j} [1 - F(y)]^{n-s-j},$$

where

$$A_{i,j,u,t} = \frac{n!}{(r-i)!(i+t)!(s-u-r-t)!(u+j)!(n-s-j)!}.$$

Observe that

$$\begin{split} A_{i,j,u,t} &= \frac{n!}{(r-i)!(i+t)!(s-u-r-t)!(u+j)!(n-s-j)!} \\ &= \left(\frac{n!}{(r-1)!(s-r-1)!(n-s)!}\right) \left(\frac{(r-1)!}{(i-1)!(r-i)!}\right) \left(\frac{(n-s)!}{j!(n-s-j)!}\right) \\ &\quad \left(\frac{(s-r-1)!}{(s-u-r)!(u-1)!}\right) \left(\frac{(s-u-r)!}{(s-u-t-r)!t!}\right) \left(\frac{(i-1)!t!}{(i+t)!}\right) \left(\frac{j!(u-1)!}{(u+j)!}\right) \\ &= C_{rs} \binom{r-1}{i-1} \binom{n-s}{j} \binom{s-r-1}{u-1} \binom{s-u-r}{t} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_{0}^{1} z^{i-1}(1-z)^{t} dz\right) \left(\int_{0}^{1} \theta^{j}(1-\theta)^{u-1} d\theta\right), \\ &\text{where } C_{rs} = \frac{n!}{(r-1)!(s-r-1)!(n-s)!}. \end{split}$$

$\frac{Order \ statistics \ for \ discrete \ parents}{_{Hence,}}$

$$\begin{split} &\Pr[X_{(r)} = x \wedge X_{(s)} = y] \\ &= C_{rs} \sum_{i=1}^{r} \sum_{j=0}^{n-s} \sum_{u=1}^{s-r} \sum_{t=0}^{u} {r-1 \choose i-1} {n-s \choose j} {s-r-1 \choose u-1} {s-u-r \choose t} \\ &F^{r-i}(x-1) p_x^{i+t} [F(y-1) - F(x)]^{s-u-r-t} p_y^{u+j} [1-F(y)]^{n-s-j} \\ &\left(\int_0^1 \int_0^1 z^{i-1} (1-z)^t \theta^j (1-\theta)^{u-1} dz d\theta \right) \\ &= C_{rs} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=0}^{n-s} \sum_{u=1}^{s-r} \sum_{t=0}^{s-r-u} {r-1 \choose i-1} {n-s \choose j} {s-r-1 \choose u-1} {s-u-r \choose t} \\ &F^{r-i}(x-1) p_x^{i+t} [F(y-1) - F(x)]^{s-u-r-t} p_y^{u+j} [1-F(y)]^{n-s-j} z^{i-1} (1-z)^t \theta^j (1-\theta)^{u-1} dz d\theta \\ &= C_{rs} \int_{F(y-1)}^{F(y)} \int_{F(x-1)}^{F(x)} \left[\sum_{u=1}^{s-r} {s-r-1 \choose u-1} [v-F(y-1)]^{u-1} \\ &\times \sum_{t=0}^{s-r-u} {s-u-r \choose t} [F(y-1) - F(x)]^{s-u-r-t} [F(x) - w]^t \\ &\times \sum_{i=1}^{r} {r-1 \choose i-1} F^{r-i} (x-1) [w-F(x-1)]^{i-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-s} {n-s \choose j} [1-F(y)]^{n-s-j} [F(y) - v]^j \right] dw dv, \end{split}$$

where $v = F(y) - \theta p_y$ and $w = F(x - 1) + zp_x$.

$$\begin{split} &\Pr[X_{(r)} = x \wedge X_{(s)} = y] \\ &= C_{rs} \int_{F(y-1)}^{F(y)} \int_{F(x-1)}^{F(x)} \left[\sum_{u=1}^{s-r} \binom{s-r-1}{u-1} [v-F(y-1)]^{u-1} \\ &\qquad \sum_{t=0}^{s-r-u} \binom{s-u-r}{t} [F(y-1)-F(x)]^{s-u-r-t} [F(x)-w]^t \\ &\qquad \sum_{i=1}^{r} \binom{r-1}{i-1} F^{r-i} (x-1) [w-F(x-1)]^{i-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-s} \binom{n-s}{j} [1-F(y)]^{n-s-j} [F(y)-v]^j \right] dwdv \\ &= C_{rs} \int_{F(y-1)}^{F(y)} \int_{F(x-1)}^{F(x)} \left[\sum_{u=1}^{s-r} \binom{s-r-1}{u-1} [v-F(y-1)]^{u-1} [F(y-1)-w]^{s-r-u} w^{r-1} (1-v)^{n-s} \right] dwdv \\ &= C_{rs} \int_{F(y-1)}^{F(y)} \int_{F(x-1)}^{F(x)} (v-w)^{s-r-1} (1-v)^{n-s} w^{r-1} dwdv \\ &= \frac{n!}{(r-1)!(s-r-1)!(n-s)!} \int_{F(y-1)}^{F(y)} \int_{F(x-1)}^{F(x)} w^{r-1} (v-w)^{s-r-1} (1-v)^{n-s} dwdv. \end{split}$$

Interesting though, the pmf $\Pr[X_{(r)} = x \land X_{(s)} = y]$ is the integration over the region $(F(x-1), F(x)) \times (F(y-1), F(y))$ for the density:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{n!}{(r-1)!(s-r-1)!(n-s)!} w^{r-1}(v-w)^{s-r-1}(1-v)^{n-s}, & \text{for } 0 \le w \le v < 1; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This density is the $f_{(r,s)}(x, y)$ in the aforementioned example (cf. Slide OR2-11).

This is similar to do the **qnantiles** on the cdf of $f_{(r,s)}(x, y)$ (Recall that $f_{(r,s)}(x, y)$ is the joint density of the order statistics, denoted by $U_{(r)}$ and $U_{(s)}$, for uniform-over-[0, 1) parent distribution).

Can we establish a parent-distribution-free theory on order statistics? For example, x is the medium satisfying F(x) = 1/2. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr[X_{(r)} &\leq x < X_{(s)}] \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{x} \sum_{j=x+1}^{\infty} \Pr[X_{(r)} = i \wedge X_{(s)} = j] \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{x} \sum_{j=x+1}^{\infty} \Pr[F(i-1) \leq U_{(r)} < F(i) \wedge F(j-1) \leq U_{(s)} < F(j)] \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{x} \Pr[F(i-1) \leq U_{(r)} < F(i) \wedge U_{(s)} \geq F(x)] \\ &= \Pr[U_{(r)} < F(x) \wedge U_{(s)} \geq F(x)] \\ &= \Pr\left[U_{(r)} < \frac{1}{2} \leq U_{(s)}\right], \end{aligned}$$

which has nothing to do with the shape of function F.

Define the quantile of random variable X as:

$$Q(p) \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \sup\{x \in \Re : F(x) \le p\}.$$

Observation The probability of Q(p) belonging to $[X_{(r)}, X_{(s)})$ for $1 \le r < s \le n$, namely

$$\Pr\left[X_{(r)} \le Q(p) < X_{(s)}\right],$$

is *independent* of the distribution of X !

• This observation allows us to construct the **distribution-free confidence** intervals for Q(p).

Observe that

$$Pr[X_{(r)} \le Q(p)] = Pr[X_{(r)} \le Q(p) \land X_{(s)} > Q(p)] + Pr[X_{(r)} \le Q(p) \land X_{(s)} \le Q(p)]$$
$$= Pr[X_{(r)} \le Q(p) < X_{(s)}] + Pr[X_{(s)} \le Q(p)],$$

which implies that if $F(\cdot)$ has inverse function,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr[X_{(r)} \leq Q(p) < X_{(s)}] &= \Pr[X_{(r)} \leq Q(p)] - \Pr[X_{(s)} \leq Q(p)] \\ &= I_{F(Q(p))}(r, n - r + 1) - I_{F(Q(p))}(s, n - s + 1) \\ &= I_{p}(r, n - r + 1) - I_{p}(s, n - s + 1) \\ &= \sum_{i=r}^{n} \binom{n}{i} p^{i} (1 - p)^{n-i} - \sum_{i=s}^{n} \binom{n}{i} p^{i} (1 - p)^{n-i} \\ &= \sum_{i=r}^{s-1} \binom{n}{i} p^{i} (1 - p)^{n-i}, \end{aligned}$$

which is **independent** of $F(\cdot)$.

In case $F(\cdot)$ has no inverse function,

$$\Pr[X_{(r)} < Q(p) < X_{(s)}] \le \sum_{i=r}^{s-1} \binom{n}{i} p^i (1-p)^{n-i} \le \Pr[X_{(r)} \le Q(p) \le X_{(s)}].$$

Observation The probability that $[X_{(r)} \leq a \text{ and } X_{(s)} > a]$ is still dependent on the distribution of $F(\cdot)$.

For example, if $F(\cdot)$ has inverse function,

$$\Pr[X_{(r)} \le a < X_{(s)}] = \sum_{i=r}^{s-1} \binom{n}{i} F^i(a)(1 - F(a))^{n-i}.$$

Define
$$\pi(r, s, n, p) = \sum_{i=r}^{s-1} \binom{n}{i} p^i (1-p)^{n-i}.$$

Definition Confidence intervals with confidence coefficient $\geq 1 - \alpha$.

• For given n and p, make (s - r) as small as possible subject to $\pi(r, s, n, p) \ge 1 - \alpha$.

Example For given p = 1/2 (and any n),

$$\pi(r, s, n, 1/2) = \sum_{i=r}^{s-1} \binom{n}{i} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n \sum_{i=r}^{s-1} \binom{n}{i}.$$

Then for fixed d = (s - r), $\pi(r, s, n, 1/2)$ is largest, if $r = \lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} - \frac{d}{2} \rfloor$ and $s = \lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} + \frac{d}{2} \rfloor$.

Notably, Q(1/2) is the **median**.

Some researchers approximate $(1 - \alpha)$ confident interval for the median in terms of normal approximation of binomial distribution, which is accurate at n large.

 B_1, \ldots, B_n are i.i.d., and take values from $\{0, 1\}$. Suppose $\Pr[B_1 = 1] = p$. Then $B_1 + \cdots + B_n$ is binomial distributed with $\Pr[B_1 + \cdots + B_n + B_n + k] = \binom{n}{2} r^k (1 - r^k)$

$$\Pr[B_1 + \dots + B_n = k] = \binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k}.$$

The central limit theorem says that

$$\frac{(B_1 + \dots + B_n) - np}{\sqrt{p(1-p)n}} \Rightarrow N.$$

OR2-27

So

$$\pi \left(\left\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} - \frac{d}{2} \right\rfloor, \left\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} + \frac{d}{2} \right\rfloor, n, \frac{1}{2} \right)$$

$$= \Pr \left[\left\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} - \frac{d}{2} \right\rfloor \le B_1 + \dots + B_n < \left\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} + \frac{d}{2} \right\rfloor \right]$$

$$\approx \Pr \left[\frac{n}{2} - \frac{d}{2} \le B_1 + \dots + B_n < \frac{n}{2} + \frac{d}{2} \right]$$

$$= \Pr \left[-\frac{d}{\sqrt{n}} \le \frac{(B_1 + \dots + B_n) - n/2}{\sqrt{(1/4)n}} < \frac{d}{\sqrt{n}} \right]$$

$$\approx \Phi \left(\frac{d}{\sqrt{n}} \right) - \Phi \left(-\frac{d}{\sqrt{n}} \right).$$

Hence,

$$\Phi\left(\frac{d}{\sqrt{n}}\right) - \Phi\left(-\frac{d}{\sqrt{n}}\right) = 2\Phi\left(\frac{d}{\sqrt{n}}\right) - 1 \ge 1 - \alpha \text{ implies } \frac{d}{\sqrt{n}} \ge \Phi^{-1}\left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right),$$

or equivalently,

$$d = r - s \ge \sqrt{n}\Phi^{-1}\left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right).$$

In other words, to have $(1 - \alpha)$ -confident interval for the median is obtained by:

- Obtain n random samples.
- Calculate $d = \sqrt{n} \Phi^{-1} \left(1 \frac{\alpha}{2} \right)$.
- Let

$$r = \left\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} - \frac{d}{2} \right\rfloor$$

and

$$s = \left\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} - \frac{d}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

• Then the **median** should be between $X_{(r)}$ and $X_{(s)}$ with $(1 - \alpha)$ confidence. Namely, (in terms of normal approximation)

 $\Pr\left[X_{(r)} \le \text{median} < X_{(s)}\right] \ge 1 - \alpha.$

Example

• Obtain 100 random samples.

• Calculate
$$d = 10 \cdot \Phi^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{0.05}{2} \right) = 10 \cdot 1.96 = 19.6.$$

• Let

$$r = \left\lfloor \frac{101}{2} - \frac{19.6}{2} \right\rfloor = 40$$

and

$$s = \left\lfloor \frac{101}{2} - \frac{19.6}{2} \right\rfloor = 60.$$

• Then the **median** should be between $X_{(40)}$ and $X_{(60)}$ with 95% confidence. Namely, (in terms of normal approximation)

 $\Pr\left[X_{(40)} \le \text{median} < X_{(60)}\right] \ge 0.95.$

We usually estimate mean by $(X_1 + \cdots + X_n)/n$.

But how confident is this estimate?

Rigorously, one should say the mean should lie between

$$\frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n}{n} - \varepsilon$$
 and $\frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n}{n} + \varepsilon$

with confidence level at least $(1 - \alpha)$, where

$$\Pr\left[\frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n}{n} - \varepsilon \le m < \frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n}{n} + \varepsilon\right] \ge 1 - \alpha,$$

where m is the true mean.

How to estimate the standard deviation of a distribution?

Answer: In term of *quantile interval* estimate.

Lemma For
$$q > p$$
,
 $\Pr \left[X_{(s)} - X_{(r)} \ge Q(q) - Q(p) \right] \ge I_p(r, n - r + 1) - I_q(s, n - s + 1)$
and
 $\Pr \left[X_{(v)} - X_{(u)} \le Q(q) - Q(p) \right] \ge I_q(v, n - v + 1) - I_p(u, n - u + 1).$

Proof:

$$\Pr \left[X_{(s)} - X_{(r)} \ge Q(q) - Q(p) \right] \ge \Pr \left[X_{(s)} \ge Q(q) \land X_{(r)} \le Q(p) \right] \\ \ge \Pr [X_{(s)} \ge Q(q)] + \Pr [X_{(r)} \le Q(p)] - 1 \\ = \Pr [X_{(r)} \le Q(p)] - \Pr [X_{(s)} < Q(q)] \\ \ge I_p(r, n - r + 1) - I_q(s, n - s + 1),$$

 $\Pr[X_{(r)} \le Q(p)] = I_{F(Q(p))}(r, n - r + 1) \ge I_p(r, n - r + 1) \ge \Pr[X_{(r)} < Q(p)].$

and therefore,

$$\Pr \left[X_{(v)} - X_{(u)} \le Q(q) - Q(p) \right] = \Pr \left[X_{(u)} - X_{(v)} \ge Q(p) - Q(q) \right]$$
$$\ge I_q(v, n - v + 1) - I_p(u, n - u + 1).$$

Observation For any α , where $0 < \alpha < 1$, there exists one set of integers r, s, u and v for which

$$\Pr\left[X_{(s)} - X_{(r)} \ge Q(q) - Q(p)\right] \ge 1 - \frac{1}{2}\alpha$$

and

$$\Pr\left[X_{(v)} - X_{(u)} \le Q(q) - Q(p)\right] \ge 1 - \frac{1}{2}\alpha.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} &\Pr\left[X_{(v)} - X_{(u)} \leq Q(q) - Q(p) \leq X_{(s)} - X_{(r)}\right] \\ \geq &\Pr\left[X_{(s)} - X_{(r)} \geq Q(q) - Q(p)\right] + \Pr\left[X_{(v)} - X_{(u)} \leq Q(q) - Q(p)\right] - 1 \\ \geq &\left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\alpha\right) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\alpha\right) - 1 \\ = &1 - \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

In the proof of the previous lemma, we actually require:

$$\Pr\left[X_{(s)} \geq Q(q) \wedge X_{(r)} \leq Q(p)\right] \ \geq \ 1 - \frac{1}{2}\alpha.$$

and

$$\Pr\left[X_{(u)} \ge Q(p) \land X_{(v)} \le Q(q)\right] \ge 1 - \frac{1}{2}\alpha.$$

This can be re-written as:

$$\Pr\left[X_{(s)} \ge Q(q) > Q(p) \ge X_{(r)}\right] \ge 1 - \frac{1}{2}\alpha.$$

and

$$\Pr\left[Q(q) \ge X_{(v)} \ge X_{(u)} \ge Q(p)\right] \ge 1 - \frac{1}{2}\alpha.$$

This is why $[X_{(r)}, X_{(s)}]$ and $[X_{(u)}, X_{(v)}]$ are named *outer* and *inner* confidence intervals for the quantile interval [Q(p), Q(q)].

Distribution-free tolerance intervals

Then for any two constants $0 \le \beta, \gamma \le 1$, tolerance interval seeks random variables L and V such that

$$\Pr\left[F(V) - F(L) \ge \gamma\right] \ge \beta.$$

Lemma $\Pr[F(V) - F(L) \ge \gamma]$ is independent of the parent distribution $F(\cdot)$ if, and only if, L and V are order statistics (such as $X_{(r)}$ and $X_{(s)}$).

In this lemma, L and V are allowed to be $X_{(0)} = -\infty$ and $X_{(n+1)} = +\infty$.

Idea of the proof.

- $F(X_{(r)})$ and $F(X_{(s)})$ can be viewed as $U_{(r)}$ and $U_{(s)}$, where $U_{(r)}$ and $U_{(s)}$ are simply the order statistics corresponding to a uniform parent distribution in [0, 1).
- As a consequence, (if $F(\cdot)$ has inverse function)

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr[F(X_{(s)}) - F(X_{(r)}) \geq \gamma] &= \Pr[U_{(s)} - U_{(r)} \geq \gamma] \\ &= \Pr[W_{(s,r)} \geq \gamma] \\ &= 1 - I_{\gamma}(s - r, n - (s - r) + 1). \end{aligned}$$

Distribution-free tolerance intervals

Example Suppose that F has inverse function. For r = 1 and s = n, we have

$$\Pr[F(X_{(n)}) - F(X_{(1)}) \ge \gamma] = \Pr[U_{(n)} - U_{(1)} \ge \gamma]$$

= $\Pr[W_{(1,n)} \ge \gamma]$
= $1 - I_{\gamma}(n-2,2)$
= $1 - \frac{\int_{0}^{\gamma} z^{n-2}(1-z)dz}{\int_{0}^{1} z^{n-2}(1-z)dz}$
= $1 - \frac{\frac{1}{n-1}\gamma^{n-1} - \frac{1}{n}\gamma^{n}}{\frac{1}{n-1} - \frac{1}{n}}$
 $\ge \beta,$

which is equivalent to:

$$n\gamma^{n-1} - (n-1)\gamma^n \le 1 - \beta.$$

With the above inequality, we can solve "how large n should be to satisfy it?" For example, $\gamma = 0.95$ and $\beta = 0.9$, the minimum n to satisfy the above inequality is 77.

Premise: $1 \le r < s \le n$

We already know that for $y \ge x$,

$$= \frac{f_{(r,s)}(x,y)}{(r-1)! \cdot (s-r-1)! \cdot (n-s)!} F^{r-1}(x) f(x) [F(y) - F(x)]^{s-r-1} f(y) [1 - F(y)]^{n-s},$$

and

$$f_{(r)}(x) = \frac{n!}{(r-1)!(n-r)!} F^{r-1}(x) f(x) [1 - F(x)]^{n-r}.$$

This implies that

$$\begin{split} f_{X_{(s)}|X_{(r)}}(y|x) &= \frac{f_{X_{(r,s)}}(x,y)}{f_{X_{(r)}}(x)} = \frac{(n-r)!}{(s-r-1)!(n-s)!} \frac{[F(y)-F(x)]^{s-r-1}f(y)[1-F(y)]^{n-s}}{[1-F(x)]^{n-r}} \\ &= \frac{(n-r)!}{((s-r)-1)!((n-r)-(s-r))!} \\ &\times \left(\frac{F(y)-F(x)}{1-F(x)}\right)^{(s-r)-1} \left(1-\frac{F(y)-F(x)}{1-F(x)}\right)^{(n-r)-(s-r)} \left(\frac{f(y)}{1-F(x)}\right) \end{split}$$

Conditional distribution of order statistics

OR2-38

Observation $f_{X_{(s)}|X_{(r)}}(y|x)$ over population of size n with parent density $f(\cdot)$ is nothing but $f_{\bar{X}_{(s-r)}}(\cdot)$ over population of size (n-r) with parent density

$$f^{\diamond}(y) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(y)}{1 - F(x)}, & \text{for } y \ge x; \\ 0, & \text{for } y < x \end{cases}$$

Premise: $1 \le n_1 < n_2 < \cdots < n_k \le n$

We already know that for $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \cdots \leq x_k$,

$$f_{(n_1,\dots,n_k)}(x_1,\dots,x_k) = n! \left[\prod_{j=1}^k f(x_j)\right] \left[\prod_{j=0}^k \frac{[F(x_{j+1}) - F(x_j)]^{n_{j+1}-n_j-1}}{(n_{j+1} - n_j - 1)!}\right],$$

where $x_0 = -\infty$, $x_{k+1} = \infty$, $n_0 = 0$ and $n_{k+1} = n + 1$.

We can similarly prove that:

$$f_{X_{(s)}|X_{(r)},X_{(r-1)},\dots,X_{(1)}}(y|x_{(r)},x_{(r-1)},\dots,x_{(1)}) = f_{X_{(s)}|X_{(r)}}(y|x_{(r)})$$

Observation $X_{(1)}, X_{(2)}, \ldots, X_{(n)}$ forms a first-order Markov chain for a parent distribution with density.

Example (implication of Markovian) Suppose the parent density is e^{-x} for $x \ge 0$.

Then the joint distribution of $X_{(1)}, X_{(2)}, \ldots, X_{(n)}$ for $0 \le x_1 \le x_2 \le \cdots \le x_n$ is given by:

$$f_{(1,...,n)}(x_1,...,x_n) = n! \left[\prod_{j=1}^n f(x_j)\right] \left[\prod_{j=0}^n \frac{[F(x_{j+1}) - F(x_j)]^{(j+1)-j-1}}{((j+1)-j-1)!}\right]$$
$$= n! \left[\prod_{j=1}^n e^{-x_j}\right]$$
$$= n! \exp\left\{-\sum_{j=1}^n x_j\right\}.$$

Observe that with $x_0 = 0$,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} (n-j+1)(x_j - x_{j-1}) = \begin{cases} n & (x_1 - x_0) \\ + & (n-1) & (x_2 - x_1) \\ + & (n-2) & (x_3 - x_2) \\ & & \dots \\ + & (x_n - x_{n-1}) \end{cases} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j.$$

OR2-41

Hence,

$$f_{(1,\dots,n)}(x_1,\dots,x_n) = n! \exp\left\{-\sum_{j=1}^n (n-j+1)(x_j-x_{j-1})\right\}$$
$$= n! \prod_{j=1}^n \exp\left\{-(n-j+1)(x_j-x_{j-1})\right\}$$

By defining $Y_j = (n - j + 1)(X_{(j)} - X_{(j-1)})$, where $X_{(0)} = 0$. I.e.,

$$\begin{bmatrix} Y_1 \\ Y_2 \\ Y_3 \\ \vdots \\ Y_{n-1} \\ Y_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} n & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ -(n-1) & (n-1) & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -(n-2) & (n-2) & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X_{(1)} \\ X_{(2)} \\ X_{(3)} \\ \vdots \\ X_{(n-1)} \\ X_{(n)} \end{bmatrix},$$

which gives that

$$f(y_1,\ldots,y_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n \exp\{-y_i\} \text{ for each } y_i \in [0,\infty).$$

This immediately implies that Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_n are i.i.d. with exponential parent density.

OR2-42

Notably,

$$f_{(1,\dots,n)}(x_1,\dots,x_n) = \begin{cases} n! \prod_{j=1}^n \exp\left\{-x_j\right\}, & \text{for } x_1 \le x_2 \le \dots \le x_n; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

does not mean that $X_{(1)}, X_{(2)}, \ldots, X_{(n)}$ are i.i.d., even if the pdf is a "product form".

Observation 1 In this example, first-order Markovian of $X_{(1)}, X_{(2)}, \ldots, X_{(n)}$ allows us to transform it to an i.i.d. sequence Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_n , where

$$Y_i = (n - i + 1)(X_{(i)} - X_{(i-1)})$$

or equivalently

$$X_{(i)} = X_{(i-1)} + \frac{Y_i}{n-i+1}.$$

This indicates that $X_{(1)}, X_{(2)}, \ldots, X_{(n)}$ forms an **additive** Markov chain.

Observation 2 In this example,

$$X_{(r)} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} (X_{(i)} - X_{(i-1)}) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{Y_i}{n - i + 1}.$$

Example Suppose the parent density of $U_{(1)}, \ldots, U_{(n)}$ is uniformly distributed over (0, 1].

Then $-\log U_{(n)}, \ldots, -\log U_{(1)}$ forms order statistics with exponential parent density, where $-\log U_{(n)} \leq \ldots \leq -\log U_{(1)}$.

$$\Pr[-\log U \le x] = \Pr[U \ge e^{-x}] = 1 - e^{-x}.$$

The previous example then suggests:

$$Y_{n-i+1} = i \left[\left(-\log U_{(i)} \right) - \left(-\log U_{(i+1)} \right) \right] = i \log \frac{U_{(i+1)}}{U_{(i)}}$$

is i.i.d., where $U_{(0)} = 1$.

This implies that

$$\left(\frac{U_{(i+1)}}{U_{(i)}}\right)^i = \exp\{Y_{n-i+1}\}$$

is also i.i.d.

Observation $U_{(i+1)} = U_{(i)} \cdot \sqrt[i]{Z_i}$ forms a multiplicative Markov chain, where $\{Z_i\}$ is i.i.d.

OR2-44

Example Suppose the parent distribution of $X_{(1)}, X_{(2)}, \ldots, X_{(n)}$ is standard normal distributed.

Then the joint distribution of $X_{(1)}, X_{(2)}, \ldots, X_{(n)}$ for $0 \le x_1 \le x_2 \le \cdots \le x_n$ is given by:

$$f_{(1,...,n)}(x_1,...,x_n) = n! \left[\prod_{j=1}^n f(x_j)\right] \left[\prod_{j=0}^n \frac{[F(x_{j+1}) - F(x_j)]^{(j+1)-j-1}}{((j+1) - j - 1)!}\right]$$
$$= n! \left[\prod_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-x_j^2/2}\right]$$
$$= \frac{n!}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^n x_j^2\right\}.$$

Observe that with $x_0 = 0$,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} (n-j+1)(x_j^2 - x_{j-1}^2) = \begin{cases} n & (x_1^2 - x_0^2) \\ + & (n-1) & (x_2^2 - x_1^2) \\ + & (n-2) & (x_3^2 - x_2^2) \\ & & \dots \\ + & (x_n^2 - x_{n-1}^2) \end{cases} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j^2.$$

OR2-45

Hence,

$$f_{(1,\dots,n)}(x_1,\dots,x_n) = \frac{n!}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^n (n-j+1)(x_j^2 - x_{j-1}^2)\right\}$$
$$= n! \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left\{-\frac{(n-j+1)}{2}(x_j^2 - x_{j-1}^2)\right\}$$

By defining

$$Y_j = S_j \sqrt{\frac{(X_{(j)}^2 - X_{(j-1)}^2)}{1/(n-j+1)}},$$

where $X_{(0)} = 0$ and $\Pr[S_j = +1] = \Pr[S_j = -1] = 1/2$ and $\{S_j\} \perp \{X_j\}$, we obtain:

$$f(y_1, \dots, y_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\{-y_i^2/2\}.$$

This immediately implies that Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_n are i.i.d. with standard normal parent density.

Well-known property of i.i.d. (standard normal) Gaussian:

1. $\overline{X} \perp (X_i - \overline{X})$ for every *i*.

Proof: $X_i - \overline{X}$ and \overline{X} are jointly Gaussian distributed. Hence, uncorrelation implies independence between them.

$$E\left[(X_{i} - \bar{X})\bar{X}\right] = E\left[\left(X_{i} - \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j'=1}^{n}X_{j'}\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{j}\right)\right]$$
$$= E\left[\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}X_{i}X_{j} - \frac{1}{n^{2}}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\sum_{j'=1}^{n}X_{j}X_{j'}\right]$$
$$= \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n^{2}}n$$
$$= 0 = E[(X_{i} - \bar{X})]E[\bar{X}].$$

2. \bar{X} is independent of any function of $\{(X_i - \bar{X})\}_{i=1}^n$, such as range $W_{(1,n)} = \max_{1 \le j \le n} (X_j - \bar{X}) - \min_{1 \le j \le n} (X_j - \bar{X}).$

3. \overline{X} is independent of $W_{(r,s)} = X_{(s)} - X_{(r)}$.

Independent non-identically distributed variables OR2-47

Assumption Now suppose X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n are only *independent*, but not necessarily identically distributed.

Denote their distributions by $F_1(\cdot), F_2(\cdot), \ldots, F_n(\cdot)$, respectively.

Then

$$F_{(n)}(x) = \Pr[X_{(n)} \le x]$$

=
$$\Pr[\max_{1 \le i \le n} X_n \le x]$$

=
$$\Pr[X_1 \le x \land \dots \land X_n \le x]$$

=
$$\Pr[X_1 \le x] \cdots \Pr[X_n \le x]$$

=
$$\prod_{i=1}^n F_i(x).$$

Likewise,

$$F_{(1)}(x) = \Pr[X_{(1)} \le x]$$

= 1 - \Pr[X_{(1)} > x]
= 1 - \Pr[\min_{1 \le i \le n} X_n > x]
= 1 - \pr_{i=1}^n (1 - F_i(x)).

Independent non-identically distributed variables

 $F_{(r)}(x) = \Pr[X_{(r)} \le x]$ = $\Pr[\text{at least } r \text{ of the } X_i \text{ are less than or equal to } x]$ $= \sum^{n} \qquad \qquad \sum \qquad \qquad \prod^{i} F_{j_{\ell}}(x) \prod^{n} [1 - F_{j_{\ell}}(x)],$ $\overline{i=r} \{(j_1,...,j_n) \in \mathbb{P}_n : j_1 < \cdots < j_i \text{ and } j_{i+1} < \cdots < j_n\} \ell = 1$ $\ell = i + 1$

where the set \mathbb{P}_n consists of all permutations of $(1, 2, \ldots, n)$.

Independent non-identically distributed variables

OR2-49

Theorem (Sen 1970) Define $\bar{F}(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} F_i(x)$.

1. For all real y,

$$\Pr\left[X_{(1)} \le y \left\| (F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n) \right\} \ge \Pr\left[X_{(1)} \le y \left\| (\bar{F}, \bar{F}, \dots, \bar{F}) \right\}\right]$$

with equality holding only if $F_1(y) = F_2(y) = \cdots = F_n(y) = \overline{F}(y)$.

2. For integer $2 \le r \le n-1$, real x satisfying $\bar{F}(x) \le (r-1)/n$ and real y satisfying $\bar{F}(y) \ge r/n$,

$$\Pr\left[x < X_{(r)} \le y \left\| (F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n) \right\| \ge \Pr\left[x < X_{(r)} \le y \left\| (\bar{F}, \bar{F}, \dots, \bar{F}) \right\|,\right]$$

with equality holding only if $F_1(x) = F_2(x) = \cdots = F_n(x) = \overline{F}(x)$ and $F_1(y) = F_2(y) = \cdots = F_n(y) = \overline{F}(y)$.

3. For all real y,

$$\Pr\left[X_{(n)} \le y \left\| (F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n) \right\| \le \Pr\left[X_{(n)} \le y \left\| (\bar{F}, \bar{F}, \dots, \bar{F}) \right\|\right]$$

with equality holding only if $F_1(y) = F_2(y) = \cdots = F_n(y) = \overline{F}(y)$.

Independent non-identically distributed variables OR2-50

Lemma (Hoeffding) Let p_i be the probability of success at the *i*th trial, and suppose each trial is independent.

Denote by S the number of success after n trials.

Then

$$\Pr[S \le c \| (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)] \le \Pr[S \le c \| (\bar{p}, \bar{p}, \dots, \bar{p})] \quad \text{if } 0 \le c \le n\bar{p} - 1,$$

and

$$\Pr\left[S \le c \| (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)\right] \ge \Pr\left[S \le c \| (\bar{p}, \bar{p}, \dots, \bar{p})\right] \quad \text{if } n\bar{p} \le c \le n,$$

where $\bar{p} = (p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_n)/n$, provided that c is an integer. • Notably, $E[S] = n\bar{p}$ is the margin point.

Proof of Sen's Theorem: We first prove Case 2 (in terms of Hoeffding's Lemma).

Define a success at the *i*th trial to be $[X_i \leq y]$.

OR2-51

Then

$$\Pr[X_{(r)} \leq y \| (F_1, \dots, F_n)] \\ = \Pr[S > r - 1 \| (F_1(y), \dots, F_n(y))] \\ = 1 - \Pr[S \leq r - 1 \| (\bar{F}_1(y), \dots, \bar{F}_n(y))], \text{ if } 0 \leq r - 1 \leq n\bar{F}(y) - 1 \\ \leq 1 - \Pr[S \leq r - 1 \| (\bar{F}(y), \dots, \bar{F}(y))], \text{ if } n\bar{F}(y) \leq r - 1 \leq n \\ \begin{cases} \geq \Pr[S > r - 1 \| (\bar{F}(y), \dots, \bar{F}(y))], \text{ if } n\bar{F}(y) \leq r - 1 \leq n \\ \end{cases} \\ \begin{cases} \geq \Pr[S > r - 1 \| (\bar{F}(y), \dots, \bar{F}(y))], \text{ if } n\bar{F}(y) \leq n\bar{F}(y) \\ \text{ always valid} \end{cases} \\ \begin{cases} \geq \Pr[S > r - 1 \| (\bar{F}(y), \dots, \bar{F}(y))], \text{ if } n\bar{F}(y) + 1 \leq \underline{r} \leq n + 1 \\ \text{ always valid} \end{cases} \\ \begin{cases} \geq \Pr[X_{(r)} \leq y \| (\bar{F}, \dots, \bar{F})], \text{ if } \bar{F}(y) \geq r/n \\ \leq \Pr[X_{(r)} \leq y \| (\bar{F}, \dots, \bar{F})], \text{ if } \bar{F}(y) \leq (r - 1)/n \end{cases} \end{cases}$$
(1)

Hence, when $\overline{F}(x) \leq (r-1)/n$ and $\overline{F}(y) \geq r/n$ and $r = 2, \ldots, n-1$,

$$\Pr[x < X_{(r)} \le y \| (F_1, \dots, F_n)] \\ = \Pr[X_{(r)} \le y \| (F_1, \dots, F_n)] - \Pr[X_{(r)} \le x \| (F_1, \dots, F_n)] \\ \ge \Pr[X_{(r)} \le y \| (\bar{F}, \dots, \bar{F})] - \Pr[X_{(r)} \le x \| (\bar{F}, \dots, \bar{F})] \\ = \Pr[x < X_{(r)} \le y \| (\bar{F}, \dots, \bar{F})].$$

Independent non-identically distributed variables OR2-52

Inequality (1) has already proved that

$$\Pr[X_{(1)} \le y \| (F_1, \dots, F_n)] \ge \Pr[X_{(1)} \le y \| (\bar{F}, \dots, \bar{F})] \text{ for } \bar{F}(y) \ge 1/n$$

and

$$\Pr[X_{(n)} \leq y \| (F_1, \dots, F_n)] \leq \Pr[X_{(n)} \leq y \| (\bar{F}, \dots, \bar{F})] \text{ for } \bar{F}(y) \leq (n-1)/n.$$

Here, we need to further prove their validity for all $y \in \Re$.

The other two cases can be proved as follows.

$$\Pr[X_{(n)} \le y \| (F_1, \dots, F_n)] = \prod_{i=1}^n F_i(y)$$

$$\le \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n F_i(y) \right]^n \quad \text{(Geometric mean } \le \text{ arithmetic mean})$$

$$= \bar{F}^n(y)$$

$$= \Pr[X_{(n)} \le y \| (\bar{F}, \dots, \bar{F})],$$

OR2-53

and

$$\Pr[X_{(1)} \le y \| (F_1, \dots, F_n)] = 1 - \Pr[X_{(1)} > y \| (F_1, \dots, F_n)]$$

= $1 - \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - F_i(y))$
 $\ge 1 - \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (1 - F_i(y))\right]^n$
= $1 - [1 - \bar{F}(y)]^n$
= $\Pr[X_{(1)} \le y \| (\bar{F}, \dots, \bar{F})].$

Lemma (Sen 1970) $\left| \operatorname{median}(X_{(r)} \| (F_1, \ldots, F_n)) - \operatorname{median}(X_{(r)} \| (\bar{F}, \ldots, \bar{F})) \right| \leq q_r - q_{r-1},$ provided that q_r and q_{r-1} are uniquely defined by $\bar{F}(q_r) = r/n$ and $\bar{F}(q_{r-1}) = (r-1)/n$, where $\operatorname{median}(Z)$ denotes the median of random variable Z.

$$\frac{f_{(r,s)}(x,y) \text{ for independent non-identical densities}}{\text{Suppose } F_1, \dots, F_n \text{ have densities } f_1, \dots, f_n.} \\
f_{(r,s)}(x,y \| (F_1, \dots, F_n)) = \frac{1}{(r-1)!(s-r-1)!(n-s)!} \\
\times \begin{vmatrix} F_1(x) & F_2(x) & \cdots & F_n(x) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ F_1(x) & F_2(x) & \cdots & F_n(x) \\ f_1(x) & f_2(x) & \cdots & f_n(x) \\ F_1(y) - F_1(x) & F_2(y) - F_2(x) & \cdots & F_n(y) - F_n(x) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ F_1(y) - F_1(x) & F_2(y) - F_2(x) & \cdots & F_n(y) - F_n(x) \\ f_1(y) & f_2(y) & \cdots & f_n(y) \\ 1 - F_1(y) & 1 - F_2(y) & \cdots & 1 - F_n(y) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ 1 - F_1(y) & 1 - F_2(y) & \cdots & 1 - F_n(y) \\ \end{vmatrix},$$

where

there are (r-1) rows of $F_1(x), F_2(x), \ldots, F_n(x)$, there are (s-r-1) rows of $F_1(y) - F_1(x), F_2(y) - F_2(x), \cdots, F_n(y) - F_n(x)$, and there are (n-s) rows of $1 - F_1(y), 1 - F_2(y), \cdots, 1 - F_n(y)$.